

The triggering of starbursts in low-mass galaxies

Federico Lelli^{1,2} ^{*}, Marc Verheijen², Filippo Fraternali^{3,1}

¹*Department of Astronomy, Case Western Reserve University, 10900 Euclid Ave, Cleveland, OH 44106, USA*

²*Kapteyn Astronomical Institute, University of Groningen, Postbus 800, 9700 AV, Groningen, The Netherlands*

³*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Bologna, via Bertini Pichat 6/2, 40127, Bologna, Italy*

ABSTRACT

Strong bursts of star formation in galaxies may be triggered either by internal or external mechanisms. We study the distribution and kinematics of the H I gas in the outer regions of 18 nearby starburst dwarf galaxies, that have accurate star-formation histories from HST observations of resolved stellar populations. We find that starburst dwarfs show a variety of H I morphologies, ranging from heavily disturbed H I distributions with major asymmetries, long filaments, and/or H I-stellar offsets, to lopsided H I distributions with minor asymmetries. We quantify the outer H I asymmetry for both our sample and a control sample of typical dwarf irregulars. Starburst dwarfs have more asymmetric outer H I morphologies than typical irregulars, suggesting that some external mechanism triggered the starburst. Moreover, galaxies hosting an old burst ($\gtrsim 100$ Myr) have more symmetric H I morphologies than galaxies hosting a young one ($\lesssim 100$ Myr), indicating that the former ones probably had enough time to regularize their outer H I distribution since the onset of the burst. We also investigate the nearby environment of these starburst dwarfs and find that most of them ($\sim 80\%$) have at least one potential perturber at a projected distance $\lesssim 200$ kpc. Our results suggest that the starburst is triggered either by past interactions/mergers between gas-rich dwarfs or by direct gas infall from the IGM.

Key words: galaxies: dwarf – galaxies: irregular – galaxies: starburst – galaxies: interactions – galaxies: evolution – galaxies: kinematics and dynamics

1 INTRODUCTION

The mechanisms that trigger strong bursts of star-formation in low-mass galaxies are poorly understood. Unlike spiral galaxies, gas-rich dwarfs usually do not have density waves and stellar bars, thus internal mechanisms such as bar-driven gas inflows are generally ruled out (e.g. [Hunter & Elmegreen 2004](#)). Other internal mechanisms have been proposed, like torques due to massive star-forming clumps ([Elmegreen et al. 2012](#)), triaxial dark matter haloes ([Bekki & Freeman 2002](#)), or bars made of dark matter ([Hunter & Elmegreen 2004](#)). External mechanisms are also possible, like tidal perturbations from nearby companions (e.g. [Noguchi 1988](#)), interactions/mergers between gas-rich dwarfs (e.g. [Bekki 2008](#)), or cold gas accretion from the IGM (e.g. [Silk et al. 1987](#)). In particular, cosmological models predict that low-mass galaxies should accrete most of their gas through cold flows, reaching the central parts of the dark matter halo without being shock-heated to the virial temperature (e.g. [Dekel & Birnboim 2006](#)). This process may still take place at $z \simeq 0$ in low-density environments ([Kereš et al. 2005](#)), thus

isolated starburst dwarfs in the nearby Universe are prime locations to search for cold gas accretion.

In the literature, starburst dwarfs are referred to with several names, often related to the observational technique used to identify the starburst. Common names are (i) blue compact dwarfs (BCDs) as they have blue colors and high surface brightnesses (e.g. [Gil de Paz et al. 2003](#)); (ii) H II galaxies as they have integrated spectra with strong emission lines (e.g. [Terlevich et al. 1991](#); [Taylor et al. 1995](#)); and (iii) amorphous dwarfs as they may have peculiar morphologies dominated by a few giant star-forming regions (e.g. [Gallagher & Hunter 1987](#); [Marlowe et al. 1999](#)). Hereafter we use the general term “starburst dwarfs” to indicate any low-mass galaxy experiencing an enhanced period of star-formation activity.

In [Lelli et al. \(2014, hereafter LVF14\)](#), we studied the H I content of 18 starburst dwarfs and found that disturbed H I kinematics are more common in starburst dwarfs ($\sim 50\%$) than in typical star-forming irregulars (Irrs, $\sim 10\%$). This may be related to the starburst trigger (interactions/mergers or disk instabilities), but may also be a consequence of feedback from supernovae and stellar winds, making it difficult to distinguish between different triggering mechanisms. About 50% of our starburst dwarfs, instead, have a regularly

^{*} E-mail: federico.elli@case.edu

Table 1. Galaxy Sample.

Name	Alternative Name	R.A. (J2000)	Dec. (J2000)	V_{sys} – (km s ^{−1}) –	V_{rot}	Dist (Mpc)	M_{R} (mag)	R_{opt} (kpc)	12+log(O/H)	Ref.
NGC 625	ESO 297-G005	01 35 04.3	−41 26 15	398±6	30±5	3.9±0.4	−17.25±0.24	3.3	8.08±0.12	a, g, l
NGC 1569	UGC 3056	04 30 49.0	+64 50 53	−80±10	50±5	3.4±0.2	−17.14±0.25	3.0	8.19±0.02	a, h, m
NGC 1705	ESO 158-G013	04 54 13.9	−53 21 25	635±2	72±10	5.1±0.6	−16.35±0.26	1.5	8.21±0.05	b, i, l
NGC 2366	UGC 3851	07 28 51.9	+69 12 34	103±1	49±6	3.2±0.4	−16.64±0.27	4.4	7.91±0.05	a, h, l
NGC 4068	UGC 7047	12 04 02.7	+52 35 28	206±2	39±5	4.3±0.1	−15.67±0.05	1.8	...	a, h
NGC 4163	UGC 7199	12 12 09.0	+36 10 11	158±4	10±5	3.0±0.1	−14.81±0.10	1.0	7.56±0.14	a, h, l
NGC 4214	UGC 7278	12 15 38.8	+36 19 39	291±1	81±9	2.7±0.2	−17.77±0.24	2.2	8.22±0.05	a, h, l
NGC 4449	UGC 7592	12 28 10.8	+44 05 37	210±5	35±5	4.2±0.5	−18.88±0.26	3.3	8.26±0.09	a, h, l
NGC 5253	Haro 10	13 39 56.0	−31 38 31	410±10	...	3.5±0.4	−17.61±0.27	2.1	8.12±0.05	a, g, k
NGC 6789	UGC 11425	19 16 41.9	+63 58 17	−151±2	57±9	3.6±0.2	−15.09±0.14	0.7	...	a, i
UGC 4483	...	08 37 03.4	+69 46 31	158±2	19±2	3.2±0.2	−12.97±0.19	0.6	7.56±0.03	a, i, l
UGC 6456	VII Zw 403	11 27 57.2	+78 59 48	−102±4	10±5	4.3±0.1	−14.41±0.05	1.2	7.69±0.01	a, j, n
UGC 6541	Mrk 178	11 33 28.9	+49 14 22	250±2	...	4.2±0.2	−14.61±0.10	0.9	7.82±0.06	c, j, l
UGC 9128	DDO 187	14 15 56.8	+23 03 22	150±3	24±4	2.2±0.1	−12.82±0.12	0.6	7.75±0.05	a, h, l
UGCA 290	Arp 211	12 37 22.1	+38 44 41	468±5	...	6.7±0.4	−14.09±0.18	0.9	...	d, i
I Zw 18	Mrk 116	09 34 02.0	+55 14 25	767±4	38±4	18.2±1.4	−14.99±0.26	0.5	7.20±0.01	e, j, k
I Zw 36	Mrk 209	12 26 16.8	+48 29 39	277±2	29±2	5.8±0.5	−14.88±0.23	0.9	7.77±0.01	f, i, k
SBS 1415+437	...	14 17 02.1	+43 30 19	616±2	18±2	13.6±1.4	−15.90±0.25	2.4	7.62±0.03	a, i, o

Notes. The galaxy center is derived from R -band or V -band images, while the rotation velocity V_{rot} is measured at the outermost radius accessible by HI data at relatively high spatial resolutions (LVF14). Distances are derived from the tip of the red giant branch (TRGB). The optical radius R_{opt} is defined as 3.2 exponential scale-lengths. The last column provides references for the distance, the integrated photometry, and the ionized gas metallicity, respectively.

References. (a) [McQuinn et al. \(2010\)](#); (b) [Annibali et al. \(2003\)](#); (c) [Schulte-Ladbeck et al. \(2000\)](#); (d) [Crone et al. \(2002\)](#); (e) [Annibali et al. \(2013\)](#); (f) [Schulte-Ladbeck et al. \(2001\)](#); (g) [Lauberts & Valentijn \(1989\)](#); (h) [Swaters & Balcells \(2002\)](#); (i) [Gil de Paz et al. \(2003\)](#); (j) [Papaderos et al. \(2002\)](#); (k) [Izotov & Thuan \(1999\)](#); (l) [Berg et al. \(2012\)](#); (m) [Kobulnicky & Skillman \(1997\)](#); (n) [Thuan & Izotov \(2005\)](#); (o) [Guseva et al. \(2003\)](#).

rotating HI disk that allows us to derive rotation curves and investigate the internal mass distribution. In [Lelli et al. \(2014\)](#), we found that the inner rotation curves of starburst dwarfs rise more steeply than those of typical Irrs (see also [van Zee et al. 2001](#); [Lelli et al. 2012a,b](#)), suggesting that there is a close link between the intense star-formation activity and the shape of the gravitational potential. A central concentration of mass (gas, stars, and dark matter) seems to be a characterizing property of starburst dwarfs and must be tightly linked to the mechanism (either internal or external) that triggers the starburst (see [Lelli et al. 2014](#), for an in-depth discussion).

Environmental studies also provide important clues about the triggering mechanism. In general, star-forming dwarfs tend to populate low-density environments (e.g. [Iovino et al. 1988](#); [Salzer 1989](#); [Telles & Maddox 2000](#); [Lee et al. 2000](#)) and are not necessarily associated with *bright* galaxies (e.g. [Campos-Aguilar & Moles 1991](#); [Campos-Aguilar et al. 1993](#); [Telles & Terlevich 1995](#); [Pustilnik et al. 1995](#)), suggesting that tidal interactions with *massive* companions are *not* the dominant starburst trigger. The possibility of interactions with low-luminosity, low-surface-brightness (LSB) galaxies, however, remains open (e.g. [Méndez et al. 1999](#); [Méndez & Esteban 2000](#); [Noeske et al. 2001](#); [Pustilnik et al. 2001](#)), given that these objects are usually under-represented in optical catalogs. Moreover, if the starburst is due to a *past* interaction/merger with a LSB dwarf, the resulting tidal features would have very low surface brightnesses and be difficult to unambiguously identify, unless

deep optical imaging is available (e.g. [López-Sánchez 2010](#); [Martínez-Delgado et al. 2012](#)).

Alternatively, deep 21 cm-line observations can be used to search for gas-rich companions, infalling gas, or signatures of past interactions/mergers (e.g. [Sancisi et al. 2008](#)). [Taylor et al. \(1993, 1995, 1996\)](#) obtained low-resolution *Very Large Array* (VLA) observations of 21 starburst dwarfs and 17 LSB dwarfs, and concluded that starburst dwarfs have nearby “HI companions” more than twice as often as LSB dwarfs. However, given the poor angular resolution of these observations, in most cases it was not possible to distinguish between actual HI companions and asymmetries in the outer HI distribution. Detailed studies of the HI gas in the outer regions of starburst dwarfs have been so far restricted to individual objects or small galaxy samples. These works have revealed that several starburst dwarfs show extended and filamentary HI structures, which may indicate either a recent interaction/merger or cold gas accretion from the environment; e.g. NGC 1569 ([Stil & Israel 2002](#)), IC 10 ([Manthey & Oosterloo 2008](#)), and NGC 5253 ([López-Sánchez et al. 2012](#)). In some cases, the presence of a nearby companion and/or of stellar tidal features clearly points to an interaction/merger between gas-rich dwarfs; e.g. II Zw 40 ([van Zee et al. 1998](#)), II Zw 70/71 ([Cox et al. 2001](#)), and I Zw 18 ([Lelli et al. 2012a](#)). However, some starburst dwarfs seem to have relatively symmetric and unperturbed HI disks; e.g. NGC 2915 ([Elson et al. 2011](#)) and VII Zw 403 ([Simpson et al. 2011](#)). The relative fraction of starburst dwarfs with symmetric/asymmetric HI morphologies in their outer re-

Table 2. Properties of the starburst.

Galaxy	b	SFR_0 ($10^{-3}\text{M}_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$)	SFR_p ($10^{-3}\text{M}_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$)	$\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}(0)$ ($10^{-3}\text{M}_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-2}$)	$\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}(t_p)$ ($10^{-3}\text{M}_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-2}$)	$\log(\text{sSFR}_0)$ — (Gyr $^{-1}$) —	$\log(\text{sSFR}_p)$ — (Gyr $^{-1}$) —	t_p (Myr)	Ref.
NGC 625	3.0 $\pm$ 0.1	4 $\pm$ 2	86 $\pm$ 20	0.12 $\pm$ 0.06	2.5 $\pm$ 0.6	-2.66 $\pm$ 0.63	-1.33 $\pm$ 0.45	820 $\pm$ 180	a
NGC 1569	21 $\pm$ 1	80 $\pm$ 15	240 $\pm$ 10	2.8 $\pm$ 0.5	8.5 $\pm$ 0.3	-1.79 $\pm$ 0.21	-1.31 $\pm$ 0.11	40 $\pm$ 10	a
NGC 1705	$\sim$ 6	314 $\pm$ 78	314 $\pm$ 78	44 $\pm$ 11	44 $\pm$ 11	-0.65 $\pm$ 0.56	-0.65 $\pm$ 0.56	3.0 $\pm$ 1.5	b
NGC 2366	5.6 $\pm$ 0.4	43 $\pm$ 9	160 $\pm$ 10	0.7 $\pm$ 0.1	2.6 $\pm$ 0.2	-1.63 $\pm$ 0.24	-1.06 $\pm$ 0.13	450 $\pm$ 50	a
NGC 4068	4.7 $\pm$ 0.3	31 $\pm$ 7	42 $\pm$ 3	3.0 $\pm$ 0.7	4.5 $\pm$ 0.3	-1.70 $\pm$ 0.26	-1.56 $\pm$ 0.15	360 $\pm$ 40	a
NGC 4163	2.9 $\pm$ 0.6	5.2 $\pm$ 1.6	12 $\pm$ 3	1.6 $\pm$ 0.5	3.8 $\pm$ 0.9	-2.13 $\pm$ 0.43	-1.77 $\pm$ 0.39	450 $\pm$ 50	a
NGC 4214	3.1 $\pm$ 0.9	64 $\pm$ 13	130 $\pm$ 40	4.2 $\pm$ 0.8	8.5 $\pm$ 2.6	-1.49 $\pm$ 0.32	-1.18 $\pm$ 0.40	450 $\pm$ 50	a
NGC 4449	6.0 $\pm$ 0.5	970 $\pm$ 70	970 $\pm$ 70	28 $\pm$ 2	28 $\pm$ 2	-1.18 $\pm$ 0.18	-1.18 $\pm$ 0.18	5 $\pm$ 3	a
NGC 5253	9.0 $\pm$ 0.9	162 $\pm$ 13	400 $\pm$ 40	12 $\pm$ 0.9	29 $\pm$ 3	-1.82 $\pm$ 0.16	-1.43 $\pm$ 0.17	450 $\pm$ 50	a
NGC 6789	3.8 $\pm$ 1.3	3.0 $\pm$ 1.3	15 $\pm$ 5	1.9 $\pm$ 0.8	9.7 $\pm$ 3.2	-2.21 $\pm$ 0.52	-1.51 $\pm$ 0.44	565 $\pm$ 65	a
UGC 4483	14 $\pm$ 3	11 $\pm$ 4	11 $\pm$ 2	9.7 $\pm$ 1.8	8.8 $\pm$ 3.5	-0.80 $\pm$ 0.27	-0.84 $\pm$ 0.45	565 $\pm$ 65	a
UGC 6456	7.6 $\pm$ 1.1	23 $\pm$ 3	23 $\pm$ 3	5.1 $\pm$ 0.7	5.1 $\pm$ 0.7	-1.18 $\pm$ 0.42	-1.18 $\pm$ 0.42	16 $\pm$ 8	a
UGC 6541	$\sim$ 3	3.0 $\pm$ 1.5	...	1.2 $\pm$ 0.6	...	-1.27 $\pm$ 0.71	...	...	c
UGC 9128	6.3 $\pm$ 1.4	0.7 $\pm$ 0.4	5 $\pm$ 1	0.6 $\pm$ 0.3	4.4 $\pm$ 0.9	-2.11 $\pm$ 0.59	-1.26 $\pm$ 0.25	150 $\pm$ 50	a
UGCA 290	$\sim$ 3	11 $\pm$ 8	42 $\pm$ 15	4.3 $\pm$ 3.1	16 $\pm$ 6	-0.80 $\pm$ 0.88	-0.22 $\pm$ 0.61	15 $\pm$ 5	d
I Zw 18	$\sim$ 30	100 $\pm$ 50	100 $\pm$ 50	127 $\pm$ 64	127 $\pm$ 64	-0.07 $\pm$ 0.73	-0.07 $\pm$ 0.73	10 $\pm$ 5	e
I Zw 36	$\sim$ 7	25 $\pm$ 12	...	9.8 $\pm$ 4.7	...	-0.35 $\pm$ 0.69	...	...	f
SBS 1415+437	$\sim$ 12	40 $\pm$ 7	150 $\pm$ 10	2.2 $\pm$ 0.4	8.3 $\pm$ 0.5	-1.47 $\pm$ 0.25	-0.90 $\pm$ 0.19	450 $\pm$ 50	a

Notes. For a detailed description of these quantities, we refer to Sect. 4.3. For UGC 6541 and I Zw 36, the recent SFH ($\lesssim 1$ Gyr) is not well constrained due to the limited photometric depth of the CMDs, thus we have no robust estimate of $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}(t_p)$, sSFR_p , and t_p . Another problematic case is I Zw 18, where we prefer to use the SFR derived from H α observations rather than the value derived from fitting the CMD.

References. (a) [McQuinn et al. \(2010\)](#); (b) [Annibali et al. \(2003\)](#); (c) [Schulte-Ladbeck et al. \(2000\)](#); (d) [Crone et al. \(2002\)](#); (e) [Annibali et al. \(2013\)](#); (f) [Schulte-Ladbeck et al. \(2001\)](#).

gions is unclear, as well as the relation between the extended HI emission and the starburst activity.

In this paper we investigate the hypothesis that starbursts in dwarf galaxies are triggered by external mechanisms. To this aim, we study in detail the HI emission in the outer regions of 18 starburst dwarfs. The properties of our galaxy sample have been described in LVF14 and are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. In short, we selected galaxies that satisfy two criteria: (i) they have been resolved into single stars by *Hubble Space Telescope* (HST) observations, providing detailed star-formation histories (SFHs) from the modelling of color-magnitude diagrams (CMDs; e.g. [McQuinn et al. 2010](#)); and (ii) they have a birthrate parameter $b = \text{SFR}/\text{SFR}_p \gtrsim 3$, identifying a starburst ([Kennicutt 1998](#)). As far as we are aware of, 25 dwarf galaxies observed with the HST satisfy these criteria; we collected new and archival HI data for 18 of them. Note that the birthrate parameter of a galaxy is generally very difficult to measure. According to [Lee et al. \(2009\)](#), galaxies with $b \gtrsim 2.5-3$ have H α equivalent widths larger than ~ 100 Å, and constitute only $\sim 6\%$ of the population of star-forming dwarfs at $z = 0$ (but see [McQuinn et al. 2010](#) regarding the limitations of H α observations to identify starburst dwarfs). Here we consider birthrate parameters derived directly from the SFHs as SFR_p/SFR , where SFR_p is the peak SFR over the past 1 Gyr and SFR is the average SFR over the past 6 Gyrs (see [McQuinn et al. 2010](#)). Thus, the HST information allows us to unambiguously identify starburst dwarfs, which generally constitute a small subset of star-forming dwarfs, and also to investigate possible relations between the HI emission in the outer galaxy regions and the detailed starburst properties (starburst timescale, starburst intensity, etc.).

2 DATA ANALYSIS

For the 18 galaxies in our sample, we collected both new and archival 21 cm-line observations from the VLA, the *Westerbork Synthesis Radio Telescope* (WSRT), and the *Australia Telescope Compact Array* (ATCA). Archival observations were available for 15 galaxies, mostly from the WHISP ([Swaters et al. 2002](#)), THINGS ([Walter et al. 2008](#)), LITTLE-THINGS ([Hunter et al. 2012](#)), and VLA-ANGST ([Ott et al. 2012](#)) projects (see Table 3). New HI observations were obtained for the remaining 3 objects using the VLA and the WSRT. In LVF14, we described the reduction of these observations and presented HI data at relatively high spatial resolutions (ranging from 5'' to 30'' depending on the individual galaxy properties). Here we analyse HI datacubes at lower spatial resolutions, which are more sensitive to low-column-density gas in the outer regions (see Table 3). We use the same dataset as in LVF14 except for two objects: NGC 4449 and UGC 4483. For NGC 4449, the HI datacube from THINGS ([Walter et al. 2008](#)) covers a relatively small region on the sky, thus here we use the total HI map and velocity field from [Hunter et al. \(1998\)](#), which were obtained from VLA D-array observations in a 3 $\times$ 3 pointing mosaic (covering $\sim 1^\circ$). For UGC 4483, in [Lelli et al. \(2012b\)](#) we reduced and analysed archival HI data obtained with the B- and C-arrays of the VLA, but here we use the datacube from [Ott et al. \(2012\)](#) that includes also new D-array observations, probing low-column-density gas on larger angular scales.

For every galaxy, we chose the optimal spatial resolution using the following approach. We first inspected the HI cube at the highest spatial and spectral resolutions avail-

Table 3. Properties of the HI datacubes.

Galaxy	Telescope	Source	Smoothed Beam (asec×asec)	Beam (pc×pc)	Ch. Sep. (km s ⁻¹)	Taper	Rms Noise (mJy/beam)	$N_{\text{HI}}(3\sigma)$ (10 ²⁰ cm ⁻²)	$N_{\text{HI}}(3\sigma)$ ($M_{\odot}$ pc ⁻²)
NGC 625	VLA	a	30.0×30.0	567×567	2.6	Hann.	2.6	1.1±0.5	0.9±0.4
NGC 1569	VLA	b	20.0×20.0	330×330	2.6	Hann.	1.1	1.6±0.7	1.3±0.6
NGC 1705	ATCA	c	20.0×20.0	494×494	3.5	Hann.	1.2	1.1±0.4	0.9±0.3
NGC 2366	VLA	b	20.0×20.0	310×310	2.6	Hann.	1.3	1.5±0.6	1.2±0.5
NGC 4068	WSRT	d	30.0×30.0	625×625	2.0	Unif.	4.4	1.4±0.5	1.2±0.4
NGC 4163	VLA	b	20.0×20.0	290×290	1.3	Hann.	1.3	0.9±0.3	0.8±0.3
NGC 4214	VLA	b	30.0×30.0	393×393	1.3	Hann.	3.0	1.2±0.6	1.0±0.5
NGC 4449	VLA	e	62.0×54.0	1262×1099	5.2	Hann.	1.3	~0.7	~0.6
NGC 5253	ATCA	f	40.0×40.0	679×679	9.0	Unif.	2.7	1.0±0.3	0.8±0.3
NGC 6789	WSRT	a	20.0×20.0	349×349	2.0	Unif.	1.3	1.0±0.3	0.8±0.3
UGC 4483	VLA	g	20.0×20.0	310×310	2.6	Hann.	1.6	1.1±0.3	0.9±0.3
UGC 6456	VLA	a	20.0×20.0	417×417	2.6	Hann.	2.4	1.6±0.7	1.3±0.6
UGC 6541	VLA	b	20.0×20.0	408×408	1.3	Hann.	1.8	1.4±0.4	1.2±0.3
UGC 9128	VLA	b	20.0×20.0	213×213	2.6	Hann.	1.6	1.3±0.4	1.0±0.3
UGCA 290	VLA	a	20.0×20.0	650×650	1.6	Unif.	2.0	1.1±0.3	0.9±0.3
I Zw 18	VLA	h	20.0×20.0	1765×1765	1.3	Hann.	1.0	1.0±0.6	0.8±0.4
I Zw 36	VLA	b	20.0×20.0	572×572	2.6	Hann.	1.9	1.3±0.4	1.0±0.3
SBS 1415+437	VLA	a	20.0×20.0	1319×1319	1.6	Unif.	2.8	1.3±0.3	1.0±0.3

References. (a) LVF14; (b) [Hunter et al. \(2012\)](#); (c) [Elson et al. \(2013\)](#); (d) [Swaters et al. \(2002\)](#); (e) [Hunter et al. \(1998\)](#); (f) [López-Sánchez et al. \(2012\)](#); (g) [Ott et al. \(2012\)](#); (h) [Lelli et al. \(2012a\)](#).

able. Then, this cube was progressively smoothed in the image plane to 10'', 20'', 30'', and 40'', and total HI maps at different spatial resolutions were constructed by summing masked channel maps. The smoothing procedure was halted when the total HI map reached a 3σ column density sensitivity of $\sim 10^{20}$ atoms cm⁻², which is adequate to investigate the HI morphology in the outer regions (e.g. [Swaters et al. 2002](#)) and, at the same time, allows us to preserve a relatively high angular resolution (typically 20'' except for 4 cases, see Table 3). The masks were obtained by smoothing the cubes in velocity to ~ 10 km s⁻¹ and in the image plane to 1' (2' for NGC 2366, NGC 4214, and NGC 5253 given their large angular extent), and clipping at $3\sigma_{1'}$ ($\sigma_{1'}$ is the rms noise in the smoothed cube). For NGC 4214, the cube was smoothed in velocity to only ~ 2.6 km s⁻¹ because only a few line-free channels were available at its high-velocity end. All the masks were visually inspected; residual noise peaks and Galactic emission were interactively blotted out. Note that the original, high-resolution cubes were obtained using a robust weighting technique ([Briggs 1995](#)) with robust parameter $\mathfrak{R} \simeq 0$, thus they have relatively low column density sensitivity but their beam profile is close to a Gaussian shape. We avoided using natural-weighted datacubes because the broad wings of their beam profiles may lead to spurious detections of diffuse emission, especially when the HI data are not cleaned down to the noise level (as is the case for the LITTLE-THINGS datacubes that are cleaned down to only 2.5σ , see [Hunter et al. 2012](#)).

Since we are interested in low-column-density HI emission, it is important to accurately estimate the 3σ column density sensitivity of the total HI maps. The noise in a total HI map is not uniform but varies from pixel to pixel because at each spatial position one adds a different number of channels, given that only the pixels inside a given mask are considered. Following [Verheijen & Sancisi \(2001\)](#), we constructed signal-to-noise maps and calculated

a pseudo- 3σ column density contour $N_{\text{HI}}(3\sigma)$ by averaging the values of the pixels with signal-to-noise ratio between 2.75 and 3.25. We also calculated the rms around the mean value of these pixels to estimate the uncertainty on $N_{\text{HI}}(3\sigma)$. In particular, we halted the progressive smoothing of the HI cubes when the value of $N(3\sigma)$ was equal to 1×10^{20} cm⁻² within the errors. The derivation of the signal-to-noise maps is described in detail in Appendix A.

We calculated total HI fluxes from the smoothed maps by considering the pixels with a flux density higher than $\frac{1}{2}N_{\text{HI}}(3\sigma)$, that can be considered as a pseudo- 1.5σ contour (see Table 4). Our HI fluxes are in overall agreement with those from single-dish observations: the differences are typically $\lesssim 15\%$ apart for two objects (NGC 1569 and UGC 6456) that are affected by Galactic emission. Our smoothed HI maps, thus, recover most of the HI emission from the galaxy.

We also derived HI velocity fields by estimating an intensity-weighted mean (IWM) velocity from the masked datacube at the optimal resolution, clipping at 2σ and considering only the pixels within the pseudo- 3σ contour of the total HI map. Since the HI profiles are generally broad and asymmetric, these low-resolution IWM velocity fields are uncertain and provide only an overall description of kinematics of the extended gas. For the 18 galaxies in our sample, a detailed analysis of the HI kinematics has been presented in [Lelli et al. \(2012a,b\)](#) and LVF14.

3 THE HI GAS IN THE OUTER REGIONS

In the following we qualitatively describe the overall properties of the HI gas in the outer regions of starburst dwarfs, while in Sect. 4 we quantify the outer HI asymmetry using a new asymmetry parameter; we also make a comparison with a control sample of typical star-forming Irrs and investigate the relation between outer HI distribution and star-

Table 4. HI properties.

Galaxy	S_{HI} (Jy km/s)	M_{HI} ($10^7 M_{\odot}$)	E_{HI} (amin)	E_{HI} (kpc)	$E_{\text{HI}}/R_{\text{opt}}$	$t_{E_{\text{HI}}}$ (Gyr)	A
NGC 625	27.0	9.7±2.2	4.7	5.4	1.6	1.1	0.60
NGC 1569	106.6	29.1±4.5	10.8	10.7	3.6	1.3	0.68
NGC 1705	18.2	11.1±2.9	4.0	5.9	3.9	0.5	0.74
NGC 2366	254.8	62±17	10.1	9.4	2.1	1.1	0.53
NGC 4068	34.2	14.9±1.6	3.6	4.5	2.5	0.7	0.52
NGC 4163	7.2	1.5±0.2	2.3	2.0	2.0	1.2	0.64
NGC 4214	250.8	43±8	10.4	8.2	3.7	0.6	0.52
NGC 4449	721.2	300±77	34.3	42	13	7.4	0.77
NGC 5253	47.6	13.8±3.4	4.6	4.7	2.2	...	0.57
NGC 6789	5.9	1.8±0.3	1.8	1.9	2.7	0.2	0.60
UGC 4483	12.0	2.9±0.5	2.7	2.5	4.2	0.8	0.73
UGC 6456	10.4	4.5±0.5	1.9	2.4	1.9	1.5	0.51
UGC 6541	2.8	1.2±0.2	1.9	2.3	2.5	...	0.73
UGC 9128	11.1	1.3±0.2	1.8	1.2	2.0	0.3	0.48
UGCA 290	1.35	1.4±0.2	1.1	2.1	2.3	...	0.70
I Zw 18	2.7	21±4	1.6	8.5	17	1.4	0.76
I Zw 36	8.2	6.7±1.3	1.9	3.3	3.7	0.7	0.64
SBS 1415+437	4.6	20.1±4.6	1.8	7.3	3.0	2.5	0.42

Notes. The VLA data of NGC 4449 seem to miss diffuse HI emission (Hunter et al. 1998), thus S_{HI} and M_{HI} may be underestimated. The asymmetry parameter A is calculated using the total HI maps in Fig. 1 (see Tab. 3 for the relative spatial resolutions and column density sensitivities).

burst properties. In Sect. 5 we then describe each individual galaxy in detail and discuss its nearby environment.

Figure 1 shows the total HI maps of our 18 starburst dwarfs superimposed on optical images; in each map the HI contours correspond to 1, 4, and 16×10^{20} atoms cm^{-2} . The outer HI distribution of starburst dwarfs shows a variety of morphologies. Several galaxies have heavily disturbed HI distributions, characterized by large-scale asymmetries, long filaments, and/or a large optical-HI offset (NGC 4449, I Zw 18, NGC 1705, UGC 6541, NGC 1569, and I Zw 36). Other galaxies, instead, show lopsided HI morphologies, characterized by minor asymmetries and/or extensions in the outer parts (NGC 2366, NGC 4068, NGC 4214, UGC 6456, UGC 9128, UGC 4483, and SBS 1415+437). There is *not* a clear-cut separation between these two types of HI morphologies, since there are several “intermediate” cases that have relatively regular HI distributions in the inner parts and small tails/filaments in the outer regions (NGC 4163, NGC 625, NGC 6789, and NGC 5253).

We estimated the extent of the HI distribution E_{HI} by measuring the projected distance between the optical center of the galaxy and the outermost pixel with an observed column density of 1×10^{20} atoms cm^{-2} . Note that E_{HI} is conceptually different from the HI radius R_{HI} , as the latter is defined as the radius where the azimuthally averaged HI surface density profile (corrected for inclination) reaches $1 M_{\odot} \text{pc}^{-2}$ ($\sim 1.2 \times 10^{20}$ atoms cm^{-2} ; see e.g. Swaters et al. 2002). Since E_{HI} is *not* obtained from an azimuthal average over the total HI map, it properly takes into account anomalous extensions in the HI distribution (such as tails or filaments), but it may be affected by projection effects along the line of sight. Table 4 lists the values of E_{HI} and $E_{\text{HI}}/R_{\text{opt}}$, where the optical radius R_{opt} is defined as 3.2 exponential scale-lengths (see LVF14) and is given in Ta-

ble 1. For the 18 galaxies in our sample, $E_{\text{HI}}/R_{\text{opt}}$ ranges from ~ 1.5 to ~ 4 , except for I Zw 18 ($E_{\text{HI}}/R_{\text{opt}} \simeq 17$) and NGC 4449 ($E_{\text{HI}}/R_{\text{opt}} \simeq 13$). These two objects show exceedingly extended HI tails with relatively high column densities ($\sim 1 - 2 \times 10^{20}$ atoms cm^{-2}). Intriguingly, both I Zw 18 and NGC 4449 have a companion galaxy with $L_{\text{R}} \simeq 0.5 - 1 \times 10^7 L_{\odot}$ at a projected distance $\lesssim 10$ kpc. For I Zw 18, there are strong indications that the extended HI emission is associated with the secondary body (see Lelli et al. 2012a). For NGC 4449, instead, the relation between the companion galaxy and the long HI filaments is unclear (see Martínez-Delgado et al. 2012).

Finally, we describe the HI kinematics in the outer regions. Figure 2 shows the velocity fields of our 18 galaxies. As we stressed in Sect. 2, these velocity fields are only indicative due to the complex structure of the HI profiles, but they provide an overall description of the gas kinematics. For starburst dwarfs with a rotating HI disk, the outer gas generally is kinematically connected to the inner HI distribution (except for I Zw 18, discussed in Lelli et al. 2012a). This suggests that the outer disks can be regularized in a few orbital times by differential rotation. We calculated the orbital times $t_{E_{\text{HI}}}$ at E_{HI} using the rotation velocities in Table 1 (from LVF14). These rotation velocities are typically estimated at ~ 1 to $2 R_{\text{opt}}$, thus we are extrapolating their values to larger radii by assuming that the rotation curve is flat and the outer gas lies approximately in the same plane as the inner HI disk. The values of $t_{E_{\text{HI}}}$ in Table 4, therefore, should be considered as *order-of-magnitude* estimates. Despite these uncertainties, the orbital times at E_{HI} are consistently of the order of ~ 0.5 to ~ 1 Gyr (except for NGC 4449 with $t_{E_{\text{HI}}} \simeq 7$ Gyr), indicating that the outer asymmetries must be relatively recent and possibly short-lived.




Figure 1. Total HI maps superimposed on optical images. The galaxies are ordered according to the value of the asymmetry parameter A (see Sect. 4 for details). The contours are at $1, 4, 16 \times 10^{20}$ atoms cm^{-2} . The cross shows the optical center. The ellipse to the bottom-left shows the HI beam (given in Table 3). The bar to the bottom-right corresponds to 1 kpc.




Figure 2. HI velocity fields. The box sizes are the same as in Fig. 1. The velocity separation dV between the contours is indicated. The cross shows the optical center. The ellipse to the bottom-left shows the HI beam (given in Table 3). The bar to the bottom-right corresponds to 1 kpc.

4 QUANTIFYING THE HI ASYMMETRY

4.1 The asymmetry parameter

To investigate the relation between the HI morphology and the starburst, it is desirable to quantify the degree of asymmetry/lopsidedness in the outer HI distribution of each individual galaxy. The infrared/optical morphologies of galaxies are usually quantified using the Concentration-Asymmetry-Smoothness (CAS) parameters (Conselice 2003) and the Gini- M_{20} parameters (Lotz et al. 2004). Recently, Holwerda et al. (2011a,b, 2013) used these parameters to quantify the HI morphologies in several samples of nearby galaxies. In particular, Holwerda et al. (2011b) used total HI maps from the WHISP survey, and found that the CAS and Gini parameters only weakly correlate with previous *visual* classifications of morphological lopsidedness (by Swaters et al. 2002 and Noordermeer et al. 2005). Holwerda et al. (2011a,b) defined the asymmetry parameter $\mathcal{A}$ as:

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{\sum_{i,j} |I(i,j) - I_{180^\circ}(i,j)|}{\sum_{i,j} |I(i,j)|}, \quad (1)$$

where $I(i,j)$ and $I_{180^\circ}(i,j)$ are the flux densities at position (i,j) in the original image and in an image rotated by 180° with respect to the galaxy center, respectively. This definition normalizes the residuals between the original image and the rotated image to the *total* flux. Thus, asymmetries in the outer regions may have negligible weight in the sum, since the flux densities in the outer parts can be up to ~ 2 orders of magnitude lower than those in the inner parts. This effect has been pointed out by Holwerda et al. (2013) (see their Sect. 3.2 and Fig. 2), who demonstrated that the value of $\mathcal{A}$ does not strongly depend on the outer HI emission observed in low-resolution HI maps. Our goal, instead, is to give weight to the large-scale asymmetries in the outer parts. Thus, we use a new definition of $\mathcal{A}$:

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j} \frac{|I(i,j) - I_{180^\circ}(i,j)|}{|I(i,j) + I_{180^\circ}(i,j)|}, \quad (2)$$

where N is the total number of pixels in the image. This definition normalizes the residuals at position (i,j) to the *local* flux density. In particular, if HI emission is detected only on one side of the galaxy, the residuals at (i,j) and $(i,j)_{180^\circ}$ get the maximum value ($= 1$).

In Fig. 1 the total HI maps of our 18 starburst dwarfs are ordered according to the value of $\mathcal{A}$. It is clear that our definition of $\mathcal{A}$ reliably quantifies the HI asymmetry in the outer parts. The value of $\mathcal{A}$, however, may depend on (i) the assumed galaxy center, (ii) the column density sensitivity of the HI observations, and (iii) the spatial resolution in terms of both the beam-size in kpc and the relative number of beams across the HI map. In the following, we describe the effects of these factors on the value of $\mathcal{A}$.

We adopted the optical centers derived in LVF14 by fitting ellipses to the outer isophotes (see Table 1). We did not consider the *kinematic* centers because 50% of the galaxies in our sample have either a kinematically-disturbed HI disk or an unsettled HI distribution, thus the kinematic parameters are either very uncertain or undefined. Moreover, the use of the optical center returns high values of $\mathcal{A}$ for galaxies that show a strong offset between the HI distribution and




Figure 3. Testing the dependence of the asymmetry parameter $\mathcal{A}$ on the column-density threshold (*top*) and on the spatial resolution of the total HI maps (*bottom*). In the top panel, dots show the values of $\mathcal{A}$ obtained from the total HI maps in Fig. 1 using a column-density threshold of 1 and 2×10^{20} atoms cm^{-2} . In the bottom panel, dots show the values of $\mathcal{A}$ using HI maps at $20''$ and $30''$ (keeping the column-density threshold fixed to 10^{20} atoms cm^{-2}). The solid line corresponds to a null variation in the value of $\mathcal{A}$, whereas the dashed lines correspond to variations of ± 0.1 . See Sect. 4.1 for details.

the stellar body (e.g. NGC 1705 and UGCA 290), that may indicate a recent interaction/accretion event. We checked that small changes in the value of the centers ($\sim 2''$) do not significantly affect the value of $\mathcal{A}$ (the absolute differences in $\mathcal{A}$ are $\lesssim 0.05$). This is expected because (i) the typical uncertainties on the position of the optical center ($\sim 1''$ to $2''$) are much smaller than the HI beam ($\gtrsim 20''$), and (ii) by our definition of $\mathcal{A}$, high-column-density asymmetries in the inner parts do not have much weight.

Regarding the column density sensitivity, the values of $\mathcal{A}$ in Fig. 1 and Table 4 have been calculated considering only pixels with $N_{\text{HI}} \geq 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, since this corresponds to the pseudo- 3σ contour of our total HI maps (see Sec. 2 and Appendix A). To test the effect of this column density threshold, in Fig. 3 (top) we compare the values of $\mathcal{A}$ obtained by considering thresholds of 1 and $2 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. The differences in $\mathcal{A}$ are within ~ 0.1 and do not show a

systematic trend, implying that the detailed shape of the outermost contour in the total HI map does not strongly affect the value of A . We warn, however, that the use of a column-density threshold provides reliable results as long as (i) one does *not* consider values much below the pseudo- 3σ contour, introducing noise in the total HI maps, and (ii) one does *not* consider high column density thresholds (e.g. $N_{\text{HI}} \gtrsim 5 - 10 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$), probing the small-scale clumpiness of the HI distribution. We also note that, when using a fixed column-density threshold for different galaxies, the inclination i of the HI disk may introduce some systematic effects, given that *projected* column densities correspond to different *face-on* surface densities. For an optically-thin HI disk, the projected column density increases with $1/\cos(i)$. Thus, inclination effects on the column-density threshold become important only in edge-on disks with $i \gtrsim 70^\circ$, for which projected column densities of $\sim 1 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ would correspond to face-on surface densities that are lower by a factor $\gtrsim 3$. In our galaxy sample, the inclinations of the HI disks are $\lesssim 70^\circ$ (see LVF14), thus projection effects are not a serious concern here.

The spatial resolution of the HI observations deserves some attention in the derivation of A . To quantify the effects of beam smearing, we constructed total HI maps at $20''$ and $30''$ resolution for all the galaxies in our sample (except for NGC 4449 that has HI data at a native resolution of $\sim 60''$). The respective values of A , calculated using a threshold of $1 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, are compared in Fig. 3 (bottom panel). As expected, total HI maps at higher resolutions systematically yield higher values of A . The differences in A , however, appear reasonably small (within 0.1). Galaxies with a small number of beams along the major axis of the HI disk (e.g. NGC 4163, NGC 6789, I Zw 18) typically show the largest differences in A (~ 0.1), whereas galaxies with well-resolved HI maps (e.g. NGC 2366, NGC 1569, and NGC 4214) show very small differences ($\lesssim 0.03$). For the latter galaxies, a severe smoothing of the HI data down to $60''$ (a factor 3) would still give differences in $A \lesssim 0.1$. Thus, we draw the following conclusions: (i) to have a reliable estimate of A , one needs at least ~ 5 resolution elements along the major axis of the total HI map, and (ii) when the previous condition is met, differences in spatial resolution by a factor of ~ 3 give relatively small differences in A ($\lesssim 0.1$). Our total HI maps are all reasonably resolved (see Fig. 1) and have linear resolutions ranging from ~ 0.3 to ~ 0.7 kpc (see Table 3), thus it makes sense to compare the values of A for different galaxies. Exceptions are NGC 4449, I Zw 18, and SBS 1415+437, that have total HI maps with linear resolutions $\gtrsim 1$ kpc. Despite the low linear resolution, NGC 4449 and I Zw 18 show the highest values of A in our sample, indicating that data at higher resolutions would only increase the difference with the other galaxies. On the contrary, SBS 1415+437 has the lowest value of A in our sample; this may be an effect of beam-smearing. We did not build total HI maps at the same linear resolution (in kpc) for all the galaxies because it is not possible to find a compromise between the required number of beams along the HI major axis ($\gtrsim 5$ in order to have a proper estimate of A) and the 3σ column density sensitivity ($\lesssim 1 \times 10^{20}$ in order to probe the outer HI emission).

4.2 Comparison with typical irregulars

In this section, we estimate A for a control sample of typical star-forming Irrs and make a comparison with our sample of starburst dwarfs. We use total HI maps from the VLA-ANGST survey (Ott et al. 2012), which provides multi-configuration VLA observations for 29 low-mass galaxies from the *Advanced Camera for Surveys Nearby Galaxy Survey Treasury* (ANGST; Dalcanton et al. 2009). In order to have two galaxy samples that span similar ranges of stellar and HI masses, we exclude 8 objects with $M_B \lesssim -11$ (nearly equivalent to $M_* \lesssim 10^7 M_\odot$), given that such very low-mass galaxies are not present in our sample of starburst dwarfs. We also exclude AO 0952+69 (Arp’s loop), that may be a feature in the spiral arm of M81 (Ott et al. 2012) or a tidal dwarf galaxy (Weisz et al. 2011). The VLA-ANGST sample also contains 3 starburst dwarfs that are included in our sample (NGC 4163, UGC 4483, and UGC 9128), which we use to test the consistency between our total HI maps and the VLA-ANGST ones. The control sample of typical Irrs, therefore, contains 17 galaxies.

The starburst and control samples cover similarly broad ranges of absolute magnitudes ($-12 \lesssim M_B \lesssim -18$) and HI masses ($10^7 \lesssim M_{\text{HI}}/M_\odot \lesssim 10^9$). For the starburst sample, the mean values in M_B and M_{HI} are, respectively, -14.9 mag and $3.0 \times 10^8 M_\odot$, while for the control sample they are -13.9 mag and $1.1 \times 10^8 M_\odot$. Despite the starburst dwarfs are, on average, slightly more luminous and gas-rich than the typical Irrs, it is clear that the two samples can be properly compared. Moreover, similarly to the starburst dwarfs in our sample, the VLA-ANGST galaxies have been resolved into single stars by HST (Dalcanton et al. 2009). For most of these galaxies, Weisz et al. (2011) derived SFHs by averaging the SFR over a single time-bin in the last ~ 1 Gyr, thus we cannot check whether they have a recent birthrate parameter $b \lesssim 3$, confirming that they are *not* starburst dwarfs. However, as far as we are aware of, the 17 galaxies in our control sample do not show any sign of recent starburst activity either in their CMDs nor in their integrated spectra, thus we consider them representative for typical star-forming Irrs.

The natural-weighted HI maps from VLA-ANGST have both an adequate number of resolution elements along the HI major axis and a 3σ column density sensitivity $\lesssim 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (see Ott et al. 2012), thus we can safely calculate A using a column-density threshold of 10^{20} cm^{-2} (as for our starburst dwarfs). The beam-sizes of these HI maps range from ~ 60 to ~ 200 pc, significantly smaller than those of our own HI maps (cf. with Table 3). As we discussed in Sect. 4.1, this implies that the values of A for our starburst dwarfs may be systematically *underestimated* with respect to those of the VLA-ANGST Irrs. For UGC 4483 and UGC 9128, however, the VLA-ANGST HI maps and our total HI maps yield remarkably consistent results: UGC 4483 has $A = 0.469$ from our map and $A = 0.464$ from the VLA-ANGST one, while UGC 9128 has $A = 0.485$ from our map and $A = 0.480$ from the VLA-ANGST one. NGC 4163, instead, shows a significant discrepancy: our map yields $A = 0.64$ while the VLA-ANGST one returns $A = 0.50$. The VLA-ANGST map of NGC 4163 does not trace the full extent of the HI tail to the West and does not detect the cloud complexes to the South (compare our Fig. 1 with Fig. 18 of




Figure 4. The asymmetry parameter A for our sample of 18 starburst dwarfs and for a control sample of 17 typical Irrs, drawn from the VLA-ANGST survey. Starburst dwarfs clearly have more asymmetric HI morphologies in the outer parts than typical Irrs of similar masses. See Sect. 4.2 for details.

Ott et al. 2012). This is likely due to a different masking of the HI emission during the derivation of the total HI map. We are confident that these HI features are real given that they have been detected also by Swaters et al. (2002) using WSRT data.

Fig. 4 shows that starburst dwarfs systematically have higher values of A than typical Irrs. The mean and median values of these distributions are, respectively, 0.60 and 0.60 for the sample of starburst dwarfs, and 0.47 and 0.41 for the control sample of Irrs. Since the total HI maps of starburst dwarfs have larger beam-sizes than those of Irrs, the difference between the two samples may be even larger. Given the possible effects of beam smearing on A , we did not perform a statistical analysis of the two distributions (e.g. using a Kolmogorov-Smirnoff test). It is clear, however, that starburst dwarfs generally have more asymmetric HI morphologies in their outer regions than typical Irrs.

Two galaxies from the control sample have very high values of A , comparable with those of the most disturbed starburst dwarfs. These objects are NGC 404 ($A = 0.67$) and DDO 6 ($A = 1$). NGC 404 is at the high-mass end of the dwarf classification ($M_B \simeq -16.2$) and shows an unusual lenticular morphology for a dwarf galaxy. Several authors (e.g. Thilker et al. 2010) argued that NGC 404 may have experienced a merger in the last ~ 1 Gyr given that it has an inner, counter-rotating stellar core (Bouchard et al. 2010) and an outer, extended HI ring (del Río et al. 2004) hosting recent, low-level star-formation (Thilker et al. 2010). Considering these facts, the relatively high value of A is not

surprising, and demonstrates that our definition of A can successfully identify past interacting/merging systems. Regarding DDO 6, both Skillman et al. (2003) and Weisz et al. (2011) classified this object as a “transition” dwarf, i.e. a low-mass galaxy with detected HI emission but little or no H α flux (Mateo 1998). In DDO 6, HI emission is detected only on one side of the galaxy (similarly to UGC 6541 in our sample), hence this object has an extremely high value of A . It would be interesting to investigate whether this galaxy has experienced a recent starburst. Intriguingly, the well-studied “transition” dwarf Antlia has been classified as a starburst by McQuinn et al. (2012), and has a HI distribution similar to DDO 6 and UGC 6541 (see Ott et al. 2012; Antlia is not included here because it has $M_B \lesssim -11$).

4.3 HI asymmetries versus starburst properties

We now investigate the possible relations between A and the properties of the starburst as derived from the HST studies of the resolved stellar populations. We consider the following quantities (see Table 2):

- (i) the birthrate parameter $b = \text{SFR}_p / \overline{\text{SFR}}$, where SFR_p is the peak SFR over the past 1 Gyr and $\overline{\text{SFR}}$ is the average SFR over the past 6 Gyrs (see McQuinn et al. 2010);
- (ii) the peak SFR surface density $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}(t_p) = \text{SFR}_p / (\pi R_{\text{opt}}^2)$, where R_{opt} is defined as 3.2 exponential scale-lengths (see LVF14);
- (iii) the present-day SFR surface density $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}(0) = \text{SFR}_0 / (\pi R_{\text{opt}}^2)$, where SFR_0 is the average SFR over the last 10 Myr;
- (iv) the specific SFR (sSFR) calculated both as SFR_0 / M_* and as SFR_p / M_* ;
- (v) the look-back time t_p at SFR_p .

In particular, t_p can be considered as the typical “age” of the starburst, allowing us to distinguish between “old” bursts (with $t_p \gtrsim 100$ Myr) and “young” bursts (with $t_p \lesssim 100$ Myr). The SFHs of 5 galaxies (NGC 2366, NGC 4068, UGC 4483, UGC 9128, and SBS 1415+437) show two distinct peaks with similar SFRs (consistent within 1σ). In these cases, we consider the SFR and the look-back time of the older peak since this is the one that formed more stars, given that the SFR is averaged over a larger time-bin (typically a factor of ~ 4 , see McQuinn et al. 2010). For UGC 6541 and I Zw 36, the recent SFH is not well constrained (see Schulte-Ladbeck et al. 2000, 2001), thus we have no robust estimate of $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}(t_p)$, sSFR_p , and t_p .

In Fig. 5, we plot A versus the SFR indicators $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}(0)$, $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}(t_p)$, $\text{sSFR}(0)$, and $\text{sSFR}(t_p)$. To quantify possible trends in these diagrams, we calculated the Pearson’s correlation coefficient ρ_{cc} , where $\rho_{cc} = \pm 1$ for an ideal linear correlation/anticorrelation, whereas $\rho_{cc} \simeq 0$ if no correlation is present. We found values of $\rho_{cc} \simeq 0.3$ to 0.4 , except for the $A - \Sigma_{\text{SFR}}(t_p)$ diagram that yields $\rho_{cc} \simeq 0.6$. We think that this weak trend is *not* significant because it is driven by 3 galaxies (NGC 4449, I Zw 18, and NGC 1705) that have $t_p \simeq 10$ Myr: for these objects the values of $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}(t_p) \simeq \Sigma_{\text{SFR}}(0)$ may be systematically enhanced with respect to galaxies with older bursts because the SFR is averaged over a smaller time bin (~ 10 Myr versus ~ 50 – 100 Myr), given that the intrinsic time-resolution of the SFHs




Figure 5. The asymmetry parameter A versus the peak SFR surface density $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}(t_p)$ (*top-left*), the present-day SFR surface density $\Sigma_{\text{SFR}}(0)$ (*bottom-left*), the peak specific SFR (*top-right*), and the present-day specific SFR (*bottom-right*). In each diagram, the value of the Pearson's correlation coefficient is indicated. See Sect. 4.3 for details.




Figure 6. The asymmetry parameter A versus the look-back time t_p at the peak of the SFH (*left*) and the ratio t_p/t_{EHI} , which roughly estimates the number of orbits that the outermost gas has completed since the onset of the starburst. In both panels, the value of the Pearson's correlation coefficient is indicated. See Sect. 4.3 for details.

increase with decreasing look-back time (see e.g. [McQuinn et al. 2010](#)). We also found no clear correlation with b .

Fig. 6 (left) shows that a clear trend is present between A and t_p ($\rho_{cc} \simeq -0.7$): galaxies hosting a “young” burst generally have a more asymmetric HI distribution than galaxies hosting an “old” one, further suggesting a close link between the outer, disturbed gas morphology and the central, recent starburst activity. Galaxies with minor asymmetries ($A \lesssim 0.6$) have values of $t_p \simeq 500$ Myr (apart from UGC 6456), which are comparable within a factor of ~ 2 with the orbital times $t_{E_{HI}}$ at the outermost radii E_{HI} . This is shown in Fig. 6 (right), where we plot A against the ratio $t_p/t_{E_{HI}}$. We recall that $t_{E_{HI}}$ is an order-of-magnitude estimate, thus the ratio $t_p/t_{E_{HI}}$ provides only a rough measure of the number of orbits that the outer gas may have completed since the epoch of the most intense star-formation activity. Despite these uncertainties, Fig. 6 (right) clearly indicates that galaxies hosting an “old” burst may have had enough time to complete an entire revolution around the center and, thus, regularize their outer HI distribution.

Finally, we discuss the “outlier” UGC 6456 (VII Zw 403) indicated in Fig. 6. This galaxy has been recently studied by [Simpson et al. \(2011\)](#), who pointed out the relatively regular HI morphology and the lack of a clear external trigger. The total HI map and velocity field of [Hunter et al. \(2012\)](#), however, show a tail/extension to the South-West. We also detected this feature in our total HI map, but it is below the 3σ column density sensitivity of the observations, having $N_{HI} \lesssim 5 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. Deeper HI observations are needed to confirm whether this HI tail is real. The location of UGC 6456 in Figs. 5 and 6 would change if one adopts column-density thresholds $\lesssim 5 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, but unfortunately these low values cannot be consistently adopted here due to the limited sensitivity of the HI observations for several galaxies in our sample.

5 INDIVIDUAL GALAXIES AND THEIR ENVIRONMENT

In the following, we discuss in detail the HI properties of individual galaxies in our sample and describe their nearby environment. We used the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED¹) to search for nearby objects with measured systemic velocities within $\pm 300 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ with respect to the starburst dwarf. Table 5 provides the 3 nearest galaxies to each starburst dwarf, together with their basic properties (from [Karachentsev et al. 2013](#)). We checked that these objects are actual galaxies by visual inspection, and excluded background/foreground galaxies when accurate, redshift-independent distances were available. Since most of the starburst dwarfs considered here have distances $D \lesssim 7$ Mpc, Table 5 should be nearly complete down to dwarf galaxies with total magnitudes $M_B \simeq -11$ and mean surface brightnesses $\mu_B \simeq 25 \text{ mag arcsec}^{-2}$ (cf. with [Karachentsev et al. 2004, 2013](#)). SBS 1415+437 and I Zw 18, however, have $D \simeq 14$ Mpc and $D \simeq 18$ Mpc, respectively, thus they

may have faint companions that have not been identified by optical surveys. An object with a peculiar velocity of $\sim 200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ covers ~ 200 kpc in ~ 1 Gyr, thus it is possible that a galaxy at a projected distance $D_p \lesssim 200$ kpc from a starburst dwarf might have triggered the burst by a *past collision* on an hyperbolic orbit (e.g. [Noguchi 1988](#)). Most of the galaxies in our sample have such a potential perturber, except for NGC 1705, NGC 6789, and UGC 9128. We stress, however, that the presence of such a companion does not guarantee that the starburst has been triggered by a past collision, given that the relative orbits of the two galaxies are unknown.

NGC 625 has a ~ 2 kpc HI tail to the North-West, that shows a coherent kinematic structure at $V_{los} \simeq 420 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. A second tail/extension is present to the South-East, but it does not show a clear kinematic structure. Our total HI map and velocity field are in close agreement with those from [Côté et al. \(2000\)](#) and [Cannon et al. \(2004\)](#). NGC 625 is part of the Sculptor group, but it is quite far from the central, massive galaxy NGC 253, being at a projected distance of ~ 1.3 Mpc ([Karachentsev 2005](#)).

NGC 1569 has a heavily disturbed HI distribution. A HI cloud with $M_{HI} \simeq 2 \times 10^7 M_\odot$ lies at $V_{los} \simeq -150 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ to the East of the galaxy, and is connected to the main HI distribution by a thin bridge (see also [Stil & Israel 1998](#)). The datacube is strongly affected by Galactic emission, thus the total HI map and the velocity field are uncertain. Our results are in close agreement with those from [Stil & Israel \(1998, 2002\)](#) and [Johnson et al. \(2012\)](#). NGC 1569 is part of the IC 432 group ([Grocholski et al. 2008](#)) and has a nearby companion (UGCA 92) at a projected distance of ~ 70 kpc with a similar systemic velocity (within $\sim 20 \text{ km s}^{-1}$).

NGC 1705 has an extended, warped HI disk. The HI disk shows relatively regular morphology and kinematics, but it is strongly offset with respect to the stellar component: the optical and kinematic centers differ by ~ 550 pc, while the optical and kinematic PAs differ by $\sim 45^\circ$ (see LVF14). To the North-East, there is also a small HI extension with peculiar kinematics, that may be associated with the H α wind (see [Meurer et al. 1998](#); [Elson et al. 2013](#)). NGC 1705 appears very isolated: the two nearest objects (LSBG F157-089 and MRSS 157-121650) are at a projected distance of ~ 0.5 Mpc, but may be members of the Dorado group at $D \simeq 18$ Mpc (see [Firth et al. 2006](#) and [Evstigneeva et al. 2007](#), respectively). Three other objects (NGC 1533, IC 2038, and IC 2039) lie at $\sim 7^\circ$ from NGC 1705, but they seem to be background galaxies at distances of ~ 20 Mpc (based on the Tully-Fisher relation).

NGC 2366 has a HI disk with a broad extension to the South-East and a strong kinematic distortion to the North-West. Fig. 7 (left) shows an optical image overlaid with the HI emission at $15''$ resolution, integrated over a narrow velocity range near the systemic velocity (between ~ 90 and $\sim 115 \text{ km s}^{-1}$). The gas to the North-West does not follow the rotation of the HI disk (see also Fig. 3 of [Oh et al. 2008](#)) and may be associated with the secondary star-forming body to the South-West (NGC 2363). Fig. 7 (right) shows a Position-Velocity diagram taken along the dashed-line in Fig. 7 (left). Intriguingly, the PV-diagram displays a steep velocity gradient coinciding with the spatial position and optical systemic velocity of NGC 2363 (indicated by the star). This may indicate that this velocity gradient is due to

¹ The NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED) is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

Table 5. Environment of the starburst dwarfs in our sample. We used information from NED to calculate the projected distances D_p from the nearest galaxies and the difference between their respective systemic velocities ΔV_{sys} . The properties of the nearest galaxies are taken from Karachentsev et al. (2013), and given only when their distances are estimated from the TRGB (the distance of NGC 2403 is estimated from Cepheids). Objects without an accurate distance estimate may be background/foreground galaxies. The properties of I Zw 18 C are taken from Lelli et al. (2012a). The morphological types are taken from NED and/or Karachentsev et al. (2013).

Galaxy	Membership	Nearest galaxies	D_p (kpc)	ΔV_{sys} (km s ⁻¹)	Type	Dist (Mpc)	M_B (mag)	$\log(M_{\text{HI}})$ ($M_\odot$)	$W_{50, \text{HI}}$ (km s ⁻¹)
NGC 625	Sculptor group (periphery)	ESO245-005	203	-7	Im	4.4	-15.6	8.58	60
		CFC97 Sc 24 ^α	402	-236	...	...	...	...	92
		GSD 106	619	132	...	...	...	...	...
NGC 1569	IC 432 group	UGCA 92	74	19	Irr	3.0	-15.6	8.17	56
		Cam B	190	157	Irr	3.3	-11.9	7.08	21
		UGCA 86	231	147	Im?	3.0	-17.9	...	...
NGC 1705	Field	LSBG F157-089 ^β	518	175	...	...	...	...	...
		MRSS 157-121650 ^γ	562	-50	...	...	...	...	...
		SGC 0409.0-5638	631	242	Irr	...	...	...	...
NGC 2366	M81 group (periphery)	NGC 2363 ^δ	2	-33	Irr	...	...	...	...
		UGCA 133 ^ε	133	110	Sph	3.2	-12.1	< 6.0	...
		NGC 2403	206	30	Scd	3.2	-19.2	9.48	240
NGC 4068	CVn I cloud	MCG +09-20-131	135	-47	Irr	4.6	-13.1	7.37	27
		ASK 185765.0 ^ε	143	291	...	...	...	...	...
		UGC 7298	145	-33	Irr	4.2	-12.3	7.28	21
NGC 4163	CVn I cloud	MCG +06-27-017 ^ζ	27	181	Im	4.8	-13.0	...	...
		NGC 4190	29	70	Im/BCD	2.8	-13.9	7.46	49
		DDO 113	30	126	Sph?	2.9	-11.5	< 5.56	32
NGC 4214	CVn I cloud	DDO 113	8	-7	Sph?	2.9	-11.5	< 5.56	...
		NGC 4190	23	-63	Im/BCD	2.8	-13.9	7.46	49
		NGC 4163	34	-133	BCD	3.0	-13.8	7.16	32
NGC 4449	CVn I cloud	DDO 125	44	-15	Im	2.7	-14.3	7.48	27
		MCG +07-26-012	77	226	Im	...	...	...	...
		DDO 120	155	252	Im	...	...	...	...
NGC 5253	M83 group	ESO444-084 ^η	54	116	Sc	...	...	...	...
		NGC 5264	108	68	Im	4.5	-15.9	7.65	35
		HIDEEP J1337-33	111	181	Irr	4.4	-11.1	6.67	20
NGC 6789	Local Void	ABELL 2312:[MPC97] 04 ^θ	297	59	...	...	...	...	...
		UGC 11411	400	220	BCD	...	...	...	...
		LEDA 166193	578	290	Irr	...	...	...	28
UGC 4483	M81 group	M81 Dwarf A	92	-45	Irr	3.5	-11.5	7.06	21
		Holmberg II	100	-16	Im	3.4	-16.7	8.61	64
		DDO 53	201	-138	Irr	3.6	-13.4	7.62	30
UGC 6456	M81 group (periphery)	CGCG 351-049 ^ι	151	8	...	...	...	...	...
		UGC 8245 ^ι	358	172	Im	...	...	...	...
		DDO 82	683	158	Im	4.0	-14.7	...	...
UGC 6541	CVn I cloud (periphery)	ASK 184683.0	213	208	...	...	...	...	...
		ASK 185765.0 ^ε	289	247	...	...	...	...	...
		NGC 3741	292	-21	Im/BCD	3.0	-13.1	7.88	83
UGC 9128	Field	LSBG F650-01 ^κ	353	-167	...	...	...	...	...
		MAPS O-383-0548118 ^λ	359	-93	...	...	...	...	...
		SDSS J145657.7+221315 ^λ	365	-102	...	...	...	...	...
UGCA 290	NGC 4631 group?	UGC 7719	83	210	Sdm	...	...	...	57
		IC 3687	115	114	Im	4.6	-14.6	7.90	36
		BTS 142	122	251	Irr	...	...	...	23
I Zw 18	Field	I Zw 18 C	2	-16	Irr	18.2	-12.1	≲ 8.08	~45
		ASK 153750.0	639	243	...	...	...	...	...
		MGC +09-16-029	1052	-159	...	...	...	...	...

Table 5 – *continued*

Galaxy	Membership	Nearest galaxies	D_p (kpc)	ΔV_{sys} (km s $^{-1}$)	Type	Dist (Mpc)	M_B (mag)	$\log(M_{\text{HI}})$ (10 ^{7})	$W_{50, \text{HI}}$ (km s $^{-1}$)
I Zw 36	CVn I cloud	UGC 7639 $^{\mu}$	117	105	Im	...	...	...	...
		NGC 4248 $^{\nu}$	183	207	...	...	...	...	...
		MAPS O-171-0165792	214	195	...	...	...	...	...
SBS 1415+437	Field	MAPS O-221-0093662	179	105	...	...	...	...	...
		ASK 310753.0	492	18	...	...	...	...	...
		NGC 5608	493	47	Im	...	...	...	...

$^{\alpha}$ According to [Karachentsev et al. \(2004\)](#), this galaxy is not in the Sculptor group but lie outside the Local Volume. The value of $W_{50, \text{HI}}$ is taken from [Cote et al. \(1997\)](#).

$^{\beta}$ This galaxy may be in the Dorado group ($D \simeq 17$ Mpc, [Firth et al. 2006](#)).

$^{\gamma}$ This object may be an ultra-compact dwarf in the Dorado group ($D \simeq 17$ Mpc, [Evstigneeva et al. 2007](#)).

$^{\delta}$ It is unclear whether this object is part of NGC 2366 or a separate galaxy (see Fig. 7).

$^{\epsilon}$ The systemic velocity of this galaxy is not reported by NED. We used the value given by [Karachentsev et al. \(2013\)](#).

$^{\zeta}$ [Trentham et al. \(2001\)](#) included this galaxy in their study of the Ursa Major cluster. However, ASK 185765.0 is probably not a cluster member, given that its systemic velocity is ~ 497 km s $^{-1}$ (from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey).

$^{\eta}$ This galaxy is close to NGC 4163 on the sky, but inhabits a further region of the CVn I cloud having $D \simeq 4.8$ Mpc (from the TRGB).

$^{\theta}$ This edge-on spiral is not a member of the M83 group and probably is a background galaxy.

$^{\theta}$ NED classifies this object as a galaxy. It is projected on the sky near the galaxy cluster ABELL 2312 ([Maugerordato et al. 1997](#)), but its systemic velocity indicates that it is a nearby object. In our opinion, it is unclear whether this is a galaxy or a Galactic object.

$^{\iota}$ This object is not associated to the M81 group and may be a background/foreground galaxy.

$^{\kappa}$ According to NED, this object may be a planetary nebula.

$^{\lambda}$ This object has also been classified as a X-ray source and a star.

$^{\mu}$ This object may have $D \simeq 7.1$ Mpc (from surface brightness fluctuations, [Karachentsev et al. 2013](#)) and be outside the CVn I cloud.

$^{\nu}$ This object may have $D \simeq 7.4$ Mpc (from the Tully-Fisher relation, [Karachentsev et al. 2013](#)) and be outside the CVn I cloud.




Figure 7. Kinematically-anomalous gas in NGC 2363/NGC 2366. *Left:* V-band image overlaid with the HI emission at 15'' resolution, integrated over a narrow velocity range near the systemic velocity of NGC 2366 (from ~ 90 to ~ 115 km s $^{-1}$). The HI column densities are 2, 4, 8, 16 $M_{\odot}$ pc $^{-2}$. The arrows indicate the centers of NGC 2363 and NGC 2366. The circle to the bottom-left shows the HI beam. *Right:* Position-Velocity diagram taken along the dashed line shown in the left-panel. The solid, horizontal line indicates the systemic velocity of NGC 2366 (103 km s $^{-1}$), while the dashed, horizontal lines show the velocity range that has been used to create the HI map in the left-panel. The vertical line corresponds to the spatial position of NGC 2363, while the star shows its optical systemic velocity (70 km s $^{-1}$), as given by NED. Contours are at -1.5 (dashed), 1.5, 3, 6, and 12 σ , where $\sigma = 0.66$ mJy/beam.

rotation in a local potential well. However, given the overall rotation of the HI disk of NGC 2366, this conclusion is uncertain. The NGC 2363/NGC 2366 system probably is an on-going minor merger.

NGC 4068 shows a broad HI extension to the South-East. This object is in the Canes Venatici I (CVn I) cloud, which is an extended, loose group inhabited by low-mass galaxies (Karachentsev et al. 2003).

NGC 4163 shows a HI tail to the West and, possibly, a second tail to the South (see also Hunter et al. 2012). NGC 4163 is in the CVn I cloud and lie close to several other Irrs (at $D_p \simeq 30$ kpc), including the starburst dwarf NGC 4214 and the “compact” irregular NGC 4190 (UGC 7232). Intriguingly, NGC 4190 has been classified as a BCD by Karachentsev et al. (2013) and shows a disturbed HI morphology (see Swaters et al. 2002).

NGC 4214 has a HI disk with a well-defined spiral pattern. The HI disk is strongly warped (see LVF14) and slightly more extended to the North-West. NGC 4214 is in the CVn I cloud and has a small companion galaxy (DDO 113) at a projected distance of ~ 8 kpc. DDO 113 likely is a gas-poor spheroidal (Kaisin & Karachentsev 2008). This object, indeed, is within the field-of-view of the VLA but no HI emission is detected within the covered velocity range.

NGC 4449 has an extremely extended HI distribution characterized by long filaments with column densities of $\sim 1 M_\odot \text{ pc}^{-2}$ (Hunter et al. 1998). A tidally-disturbed companion galaxy is present towards the South-East, but it does not spatially coincide with any gaseous feature (Martínez-Delgado et al. 2012), thus its relation with the outer HI distribution is unclear. NGC 4449 is one of the most massive galaxies in the CVn I cloud (Karachentsev 2005).

NGC 5253 has a ~ 4 kpc HI tail to the North at $V_{\text{los}} \simeq 400 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Our total HI map at $40'' \times 40''$ resolution is slightly different from that of López-Sánchez et al. (2012) at $57.8 \times 37.5''$ resolution because we used a Gaussian-smoothed, robust-weighted datacube instead of a natural-weighted datacube. The former cube has a much more regular noise structure than the latter one, providing a better estimate of the 3σ column density sensitivity. NGC 5253 is in the CenA/M83 group; its projected distance from the spiral galaxy M83 is ~ 150 kpc (Karachentsev 2005).

NGC 6789 has a regularly-rotating HI disk with several asymmetric features in the outer parts. This galaxy is in the Local Void and its nearest massive companion (NGC 6946) is at a projected distance of ~ 2.5 Mpc (Drozdovsky et al. 2001).

UGC 4483 has a regularly-rotating HI disk with a small extension to the North-West. This galaxy is in the M81 group and lies between the group center and the NGC 2403 sub-group (Karachentsev et al. 2002).

UGC 6456 has a HI disk that is slightly more extended to the South. The data are affected by Galactic emission, making the total HI map uncertain. Our results are in agreement with those of Simpson et al. (2011). UGC 6456 lies in the periphery of the M81 group (Karachentsev 2005).

UGC 6541 has a strongly asymmetric HI distribution. Gas emission is detected only in the Northern half of the galaxy. UGC 6541 is located to the North-Western edge of the CVn I cloud (Karachentsev et al. 2003). Another BCD




Figure 8. HI emission in I Zw 36 at $10''$ resolution, integrated across 3 velocity ranges: 250 to 260 km s^{-1} (blue), 275 to 285 km s^{-1} (green), and 300 to 310 km s^{-1} (red). Contours are at 1.2, 2.4, 4.8, and 9.6 $M_\odot \text{ pc}^{-2}$.

(NGC 3741, Karachentsev et al. 2013) lies at a projected distance of ~ 300 kpc.

UGC 9128 has a relatively regular HI distribution, but the optical and kinematic position angles differ by $\sim 30^\circ$. This galaxy appears very isolated; the closest massive galaxy is the Milky Way at $D \simeq 2.2$ Mpc (Karachentsev et al. 2013).

UGCA 290 has a peculiar HI distribution that is off-set with respect to the stellar component. Our total HI map at $20'' \times 20''$ resolution is less extended than the one obtained by Kovač et al. (2009) using WSRT data at $52.2'' \times 30.9''$ resolution, but the HI fluxes are consistent within the uncertainties, indicating that our total HI map is not missing diffuse HI emission. UGCA 290 may be part of the NGC 4631 group; its projected distance from NGC 4631 is ~ 700 kpc.

I Zw 18 has been studied in detail by Lelli et al. (2012a). The total HI map presented here is slightly different from that in Lelli et al. (2012a) because it was constructed using a mask at $60''$ resolution (instead of $45''$ resolution) for consistency with the other galaxies. The most likely interpretation of this system is an interaction/merger between two (or more) gas-rich dwarfs.

I Zw 36 has an extended, asymmetric HI distribution, that is kinematically-connected to a central rotating disk (see Ashley et al. 2013 and LVF14). Data at $10''$ resolution (see Fig. 8) reveal that the HI emission forms a tail-like structure to the South at receding velocities ($V_{\text{los}} \simeq 300$ to 310 km s^{-1} ; $V_{\text{sys}} = 277 \text{ km s}^{-1}$) and a broad extension to the North near the systemic velocity ($V_{\text{los}} \simeq 270$ to 290 km s^{-1}), possibly connected to the approaching side of the disk ($V_{\text{los}} \simeq 250$ to 260 km s^{-1}). There are no optical features associated with the extended gas down to $\mu_R \simeq 26 \text{ mag arcsec}^{-2}$. I Zw 36 is in the CVn I cloud.

SBS 1415+437 has an extended, lopsided HI disk. The galaxy is at a relatively large distance (~ 13.6 Mpc), thus it is possible that faint, nearby companions have not been identified by optical surveys.

6 DISCUSSION

In Sect. 3 we found that starburst dwarfs show a large variety of HI morphologies. Several of them have heavily disturbed HI morphologies, characterized by strong asymmetries, long filaments, and/or large offsets between the stellar and HI distributions. Other starburst dwarfs, instead, show minor asymmetries, characterized by HI extensions and/or small tails in the outer parts. In Sect. 4 we introduced the parameter A , quantifying the outer HI asymmetry, and measured it for both our sample of starburst dwarfs and a control sample of typical Irrs. We found that starburst dwarfs systematically have more asymmetric HI morphologies than typical Irrs, although there is a “grey area” for $0.4 \lesssim A \lesssim 0.5$ where one can find both starburst and non-starburst dwarfs with lopsided HI morphologies. Lopsidedness is a common phenomenon among spirals and irregular galaxies (e.g. Baldwin et al. 1980; Verheijen & Sancisi 2001; Swaters et al. 2002), and it has been suggested that it may be due to past interactions and/or accretion events (e.g. Sancisi et al. 2008). Alternatively, one may conceive that the outer HI asymmetries are the result of gaseous outflows due to stellar feedback. This latter hypothesis seems unlikely, as we now discuss.

Hydrodynamical simulations show that gaseous outflows generally follow the path of least resistance from the ISM (Mac Low & Ferrara 1999; D’Ercole & Brighenti 1999; Cooper et al. 2008) and, thus, develop perpendicularly to the galaxy major axis. Several starburst dwarfs do show diffuse H α emission roughly perpendicular to the galaxy major axis (see e.g. Fig. 7 of Lee et al. 2009), which likely traces an outflow (although the H α gas generally does *not* escape from the galaxy potential well; e.g. Martin 1996, 1998; van Eymeren et al. 2009a,b, 2010). The HI gas in the outer regions, instead, often has a tail-like morphology and does not show any preferential direction with respect to the galaxy major axis (see Fig. 1). Moreover, in general there is little (if any) correlation between the HI and H α emission in the outer galaxy regions; see e.g. I Zw 18 (Fig. 9 of Lelli et al. 2012a) and NGC 1705 (Fig. 8 of Elson et al. 2013). We also note that, if the outer HI asymmetries were due to stellar feedback, one may expect a correlation between the asymmetry parameter A and some SFR indicators, given that a higher star-formation activity would produce stronger outflows and, thus, more asymmetric HI distributions. We found no convincing correlation between A and either the SFR surface density or the specific SFR (see Sect. 4.3). For all these reasons, we think that the HI emission in the outer regions is not due to gas outflows, but it indicates that the starburst is triggered by *external mechanisms*, such as interactions/mergers between gas-rich dwarfs or cold gas accretion from the IGM.

In Sect. 4.3 we found that there is a significant correlation between A and the look-back time at the peak of the star-formation activity t_p (see Fig. 6, left). Galaxies hosting an “old” burst ($\gtrsim 100$ Myr) have low values of A ,

while galaxies hosting a “young” burst ($\lesssim 100$ Myr) have a progressively more asymmetric HI distribution. In particular, galaxies with lopsided HI morphologies ($A \lesssim 0.6$) have values of $t_p \simeq 500$ Myr that are comparable with the orbital times in the outer regions (see Fig. 6, right). This suggests that the *differential* rotation in the outer galaxy regions could have had enough time to partially regularize the HI distribution since the epoch of the interaction/accretion event that possibly triggered the starburst. In particular, galaxies with extended, strongly-warped, and regularly-rotating HI disks, such as NGC 4214 (LVF14) and NGC 2915 (Elson et al. 2010), may represent an advanced stage of the interaction/accretion phenomenon, as it has already been suggested by Sancisi et al. (2008). On the other hand, a galaxy like NGC 1705, which has a warped HI disk that is strongly off-set with respect to the stellar component (see LVF14), may be in an earlier stage where the outer HI gas is still in the process of settling down. This is in agreement with the very recent starburst activity ($t_p \simeq 3$ Myr) observed in this galaxy (Annibali et al. 2003).

Recent HI studies by Ekta et al. (2008), Ekta & Chenaugur (2010), and López-Sánchez et al. (2010) have also highlighted the importance of interaction/accretion events in triggering the starburst in low-mass galaxies. In Table 6, we list further examples of starburst dwarfs with high-quality HI observations. This list is by no means complete. We have, however, carefully inspected the published total HI maps and velocity fields of these galaxies, and report their main properties in Table 6. These galaxies do *not* have accurate SFHs from HST observations, but are thought to be experiencing a starburst based on their blue colors, high surface brightnesses, and/or strong emission lines. We also have no direct information on the “age” of the starburst. However, considering the observed trend between HI asymmetry and t_p , we are probably observing starburst dwarfs at different stages of the interaction/accretion process. In particular, we distinguish between four main “classes” or “evolutionary stages”:

- (i) Starburst dwarfs that have a nearby companion ($\lesssim 200$ kpc) but show *no* sign of strong interactions, such as HI bridges or tails (e.g. II Zw 33, Walter et al. 1997). These systems may either be experiencing a mild tidal interaction or represent a late stage after a fly-by.
- (ii) Starburst dwarfs that are clearly interacting with a companion (e.g. II Zw 70/II Zw 71, Cox et al. 2001) or are in an advanced stage of merging (e.g. II Zw 40, van Zee et al. 1998).
- (iii) Starburst dwarfs that are relatively isolated and show a heavily-disturbed HI morphology (e.g. IC 10, Manthey & Oosterloo 2008), which may be due to a recent interaction/merger or cold gas accretion from the environment.
- (iv) Starburst dwarfs that are relatively isolated and have an extended, lopsided HI disk (e.g. UM 439, van Zee et al. 1998) or a pronounced warp (e.g. NGC 2915, Elson et al. 2010).

Our galaxy sample includes starburst dwarfs from all these 4 classes. As we described in Sect. 5, NGC 4214 and NGC 4163 have several nearby companions belonging to the CVn I cloud and, thus, fit into class (i). Grocholski et al. (2008) argued that NGC 1569 and UGCA 290 form a pair of galaxies in the IC 432 group similar to the LMC and the

Table 6. Other starburst dwarfs with high-quality HI observations.

Name(s)	Properties	References
II Zw 33 (Mrk 1039)	LSB companion II Zw 33 B	Walter et al. (1997)
HS 0822+3542	LSB companion SAO 0822+3542	Chengalur et al. (2006)
Mrk 324 (UGCA 439)	LSB companion EXG 0123-0040; HI tail?	van Zee et al. (2001)
NGC 2537 (Mrk 86)	Companion IC 2233 (Sd); outer HI arm	Matthews & Uson (2008)
UM 461/UM 462	BCD pair with lopsided HI disks	van Zee et al. (1998)
Haro 4 (Mrk 26)	Possible interaction with NGC 3510 (Sm)	Bravo-Alfaro et al. (2004)
Mrk 108 (IC 2450)	Interacting with NGC 2814 (Sb) & NGC 2820 (Sc)	Kantharia et al. (2005)
II Zw 70/ II Zw 71	Interacting pair	Cox et al. (2001)
SBS 0335-052	Interacting pair	Pustilnik et al. (2001); Ekta et al. (2009)
SBS 1129+576/577	Interacting pair	Ekta et al. (2006)
II Zw 40 (UGC 116)	Advanced merger	van Zee et al. (1998)
IC 10 (UGC 192)	Long HI filaments and plumes	Manthey & Oosterloo (2008)
Haro 36 (UGC 7950)	HI filament	Ashley et al. (2013)
Mrk 1418 (UGC 5151)	HI plumes and clouds	van Zee et al. (2001)
FCC 35	High-velocity HI complex	Putman et al. (1998)
Haro 2 (Mrk 33)	HI extension and small tail/cloud	Thuan et al. (2004)
NGC 4861 (UGC 8098)	HI disk extended towards a HI cloud	Thuan et al. (2004)
Mrk 900 (NGC 7077)	Lopsided HI disk	van Zee et al. (2001)
Mrk 750	Lopsided HI disk	van Zee et al. (2001)
UM 439 (UGC 6578)	Lopsided HI disk	van Zee et al. (1998)
UM 323	Lopsided HI disk, possibly warped	van Zee et al. (2001)
UM 38	Relatively regular HI disk	van Zee et al. (2001)
NGC 2915	Extended, warped HI disk	Meurer et al. (1996); Elson et al. (2010)

SMC in the Local Group; in this case, NGC 1569 would also belong to class (i). There may be more starburst dwarf in this class, having galaxies at projected distances $D_p \lesssim 200$ kpc and differences in their systemic velocities $\lesssim 300$ km s⁻¹, but the lack of accurate distance estimates for their potential companions prevents us from unambiguously classifying them (see Sect. 5). I Zw 18, NGC 4449, and NGC 2366 are probably undergoing a minor merger (see Lelli et al. 2012a, Martínez-Delgado et al. 2012, and Sect. 5, respectively) and, thus, belong to class (ii). I Zw 36, UGC 6431, UGCA 290, and NGC 625 can be included in class (iii), whereas UGC 4483, UGC 6456, UGC 9128, and SBS 1415+437 belong to class (iv). NGC 6789 and NGC 5253 are somewhat intermediate between class (iii) and (iv), having $A \simeq 0.6$.

The observational evidence presented so far indicates that past and on-going interaction/accretion events play an important role in triggering the starburst in low-mass galaxies. Moreover, interaction/mergers between gas-rich dwarfs may provide the mechanism that forms the central concentration of mass observed in starburst dwarfs (Lelli et al. 2012a,b, 2014). Numerical simulations, indeed, indicate that interactions/mergers between gas-rich dwarfs can lead to an overall contraction of the disk and form a central mass concentration (e.g. Bekki 2008). We stress, however, that several galaxies in our sample show remarkably symmetric optical morphologies (down to ~ 26 mag arcsec⁻²), whereas the HI distribution is heavily perturbed (see e.g. I Zw 36 in Fig. 8). To unambiguously identify galaxy interaction as the main triggering mechanism, one would need deep optical observations (down to $\sim 29 - 30$ mag arcsec⁻²) to search for stellar tidal features associated with the HI features. In the case that stellar tidal features would still remain undetected, the remaining possibility is that starburst dwarfs are directly accreting gas from the IGM. Cold flows

of gas are predicted by Λ CDM models of galaxy formation (Kereš et al. 2005; Dekel & Birnboim 2006). In particular, Kereš et al. (2005) argued that these cold flows might still take place at $z \simeq 0$ in low-mass galaxies residing in low-density environments. As we discussed in Sect. 5, most starburst dwarfs in our sample inhabit similar environments as typical Irrs, such as galaxy groups and small associations. Thus, it is unclear why cosmological cold flows would be visible only in starburst dwarfs and not in typical Irrs, unless they are highly stochastic and can rapidly trigger central bursts by bringing large amounts of gas to the bottom of the potential well. It is also unclear what the relation would be between these cold flows and the central concentration of mass (luminous and dark). Three galaxies (NGC 1705, NGC 6789, and UGC 9128), however, *seem* very isolated and show relatively regular optical morphologies down to $\mu \simeq 26$ R mag arcsec⁻². If the regular optical morphologies of these three galaxies are confirmed by deeper optical images, they may represent cases of cosmological gas accretion in the Local Universe.

7 CONCLUSIONS

We investigated the distribution and kinematics of the HI gas in the outer regions of nearby starburst dwarf galaxies, using both new and archival data. We considered 18 starburst dwarfs that have been resolved into single stars by HST observations, providing their recent SFHs and starburst timescales. Our main results can be summarized as follows.

(i) Starburst dwarfs display a broad range of HI morphologies. Several galaxies show heavily disturbed HI morphologies characterized by large-scale asymmetries, long filaments, and/or strong offsets between the stellar and HI

distributions, whereas other galaxies show only minor asymmetries in the outer regions.

(ii) We defined the parameter A to quantify the large-scale HI asymmetry in the outer regions and measured it for our sample of starburst dwarfs and a control sample of typical dwarf irregulars, drawn from the VLA-ANGST survey. We found that starburst dwarfs generally have higher values of A than typical irregulars, suggesting that some external mechanism triggered the starburst.

(iii) We compared the values of A with the starburst properties. We found that galaxies hosting a “young” burst ($\lesssim 100$ Myr) typically have more asymmetric HI morphologies than galaxies hosting an “old” one ($\gtrsim 100$ Myr), further indicating that there is a close link between the outer, disturbed HI distribution and the central, recent star-formation. Galaxies hosting an “old” burst likely had enough time to partially regularize their outer HI distribution, since the “age” of the burst (~ 500 Myr) is comparable with the orbital time in the outer parts.

(iv) We investigated the nearby environment of the galaxies in our sample. Most of them have a potential perturber at a projected distance $\lesssim 200$ kpc, thus the hypothesis of a past interaction cannot be excluded. Three galaxies (NGC 2366, NGC 4449, and I Zw 18) are probably undergoing a minor merger. Another three objects (NGC 1705, NGC 6789, and UGC 9128), instead, seem very isolated and show regular optical morphologies down to $\mu \simeq 26$ R mag arcsec $^{-2}$, thus they *may* represent cases of cold gas accretion in the nearby Universe.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to Renzo Sancisi for sharing his valuable insights with us. We thank Ed Elson, Deidre Hunter, and Angel R. López-Sánchez for providing us with the HI data of NGC 1705, NGC 4449, and NGC 5253, respectively. We also thanks the members of the WHISP, THINGS, LITTLE-THINGS, and VLA-ANGST projects for having made the HI data publicly available. FL acknowledges the Ubbo Emmius bursary program of the University of Groningen and the Leids Kerkhoven-Bosscha Fund. FF acknowledges financial support from PRIN MIUR 2010-2011, project “The Chemical and Dynamical Evolution of the Milky Way and Local Group Galaxies”, prot. 2010LY5N2T.

APPENDIX A: ESTIMATING THE NOISE IN A TOTAL HI MAP

In their appendix A, [Verheijen & Sancisi \(2001\)](#) describe how to calculate the noise in a total HI map obtained using a mask on an hanning-tapered datacube, in which all the channel maps are kept during the analysis. Here we derive similar formulas that can be used to construct signal-to-noise maps in 2 different cases: i) a uniform-tapered datacube, as it is the case for the WHISP data and our new WRST and VLA observations; and ii) an online hanning-tapered datacube, in which half of the channel maps are discarded during the observations, as it is the case for the THINGS/LITTLE-THINGS data and other archival VLA observations.

Uniform taper

If the observations are made using a uniform velocity taper, the noise σ^u in two channel maps will be independent. When N uniform-tapered channel maps are added at the spatial position (x, y) , the noise σ_N^u in the total HI map will increase by a factor $\sqrt{N}$, thus $\sigma_N^u(x, y) = \sqrt{N(x, y)}\sigma^u$. However, before the channel maps are added to form a total HI map, the continuum emission is subtracted, introducing further noise in the channel maps. Here we assume that the continuum map C^u is constructed by averaging N_1 and N_2 line-free channel maps at the high and low velocity ends of the datacube, respectively. Thus, one has

$$C^u = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{N_1} \sum_{j=1}^{N_1} U_j + \frac{1}{N_2} \sum_{j=1}^{N_2} U_j \right), \quad (\text{A1})$$

and the noise σ_C^u in the continuum map is given by

$$\sigma_C^u = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{N_1} + \frac{1}{N_2}} \sigma^u. \quad (\text{A2})$$

If U_i is the value of a pixel in the i^{th} uniform-tapered channel map, the line-emission L_i^u is given by $L_i^u = U_i - C^u$ and the noise σ_i^{lu} in L_i^u is given by

$$\sigma_i^{lu} = \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{N_1} + \frac{1}{N_2} \right)} \sigma^u. \quad (\text{A3})$$

When N uniform-tapered and continuum-subtracted channel maps are added, the signal L_N^u at a position (x, y) of the total HI map is given by

$$L_N^u(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^{N(x, y)} L_j^u = \sum_{j=1}^{N(x, y)} U_j - N(x, y) \times C, \quad (\text{A4})$$

and the noise σ_N^{lu} is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_N^{lu}(x, y) &= \sqrt{N(x, y)\sigma^{u^2} + N(x, y)^2\sigma_C^{u^2}} = \\ &= \sqrt{1 + \frac{N(x, y)}{4} \left(\frac{1}{N_1} + \frac{1}{N_2} \right)} \sqrt{N(x, y)}\sigma^u. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A5})$$

Online hanning taper

If the observations are made using an hanning taper, the datacube is smoothed in velocity and the noise in two adjacent channel maps is no longer independent. When the online hanning smoothing option of the VLA is used, half of the channel maps are discarded. If U_i and O_i are, respectively, the values of a pixel in the i^{th} uniform-tapered and online hanning-tapered channel maps, one has

$$\begin{aligned} O_i &= \frac{1}{4}U_{i-1} + \frac{1}{2}U_i + \frac{1}{4}U_{i+1}, \\ O_{i+1} &= \frac{1}{4}U_i + \frac{1}{2}U_{i+1} + \frac{1}{4}U_{i+2}, \\ O_{i+2} &= \frac{1}{4}U_{i+1} + \frac{1}{2}U_{i+2} + \frac{1}{4}U_{i+3}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A6})$$

and the $i + 1^{\text{th}}$ channel map is discarded during the observations. The remaining channel maps i^{th} and $i + 2^{\text{th}}$ are *not* independent, because both contain a quarter of the emission U_{i+1} . Thus, when N online hanning-smoothed channel maps are added, the noise σ_N^o does not increase by a factor $\sqrt{N}$,

Table A1. Adding N online hanning-tapered channel maps.

Channel	U_{i-1}	U_i	U_{i+1}	U_{i+2}	U_{i+3}	...	U_{i+2N-3}	U_{i+2N-2}	U_{i+2N-1}
i	1/4	1/2	1/4						
$i+2$			1/4	1/2	1/4				
...					...	...	...		
$i+2N-2$							1/4	1/2	1/4
	1/4	1/2	1/2	1/2	1/2	...	1/2	1/2	1/4

but by a factor $\sqrt{N - \frac{3}{4} \frac{4}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{6}}}$, as we show in the following. The noise σ^o in the online hanning smoothed channel maps is equal to $\frac{\sqrt{6}}{4}\sigma^u$ (see Verheijen & Sancisi 2001). The total signal O_N is given by

$$O_N = O_i + O_{i+2} + O_{i+4} + O_{i+6} + \dots + O_{i+2(N-1)}. \quad (\text{A7})$$

As illustrated in Table A1, one has

$$O_N = \frac{1}{4}U_{i-1} + \frac{1}{2}U_i + \frac{1}{2}U_{i+1} + \dots + \frac{1}{2}U_{i+2N-2} + \frac{1}{4}U_{i+2N-1}, \quad (\text{A8})$$

and the noise σ_N^o is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_N^o &= \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 (2N-2) + \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^2} \sigma^u = \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{N - \frac{3}{4}} \sigma^u = \sqrt{N - \frac{3}{4} \frac{4}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{6}}} \sigma^o. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A9})$$

The continuum map C^o is now constructed by averaging N_1 and N_2 line-free channel maps at the high and low velocity ends of the online hanning-tapered datacube, thus the noise σ_C^o in C^o is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_C^o &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{N_1^2} \left(N_1 - \frac{3}{4}\right) + \frac{1}{N_2^2} \left(N_2 - \frac{3}{4}\right)} \sigma^u \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} A \sigma^u = \frac{4}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{6}} A \sigma^o. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A10})$$

The line-emission in the i^{th} channel map is given by $L_i^o = O_i - C^o$, thus the noise in L_i^o is given by

$$\sigma_i^{lo} = \sqrt{1 + \frac{4}{3} A^2} \sigma^o. \quad (\text{A11})$$

When N online hanning-tapered and continuum-subtracted channel maps are added, the signal L_N^o at a position (x, y) of the total HI maps is given by

$$\begin{aligned} L_N^o(x, y) &= \frac{1}{4}U_{i-1} + \frac{1}{2}U_i + \dots + \frac{1}{2}U_{i+2N-2} + \frac{1}{4}U_{i+2N-1} + \\ &\quad - N(x, y) \times C^o, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A12})$$

and the noise σ_N^{lo} at (x, y) is given by

$$\sigma_N^{lo}(x, y) = \sqrt{N(x, y) - \frac{3}{4} + N^2(x, y) A^2} \frac{4}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{6}} \sigma^o. \quad (\text{A13})$$

Note that this equation differs by a factor $1/\sqrt{2}$ from the one given by Verheijen & Sancisi (2001), which is valid in the case that all the hanning-tapered channel maps are kept during the data analysis.

REFERENCES

- Annibali F., Cignoni M., Tosi M., van der Marel R. P., Aloisi A., Clementini G., Contreras Ramos R., Fiorentino G., Marconi M., Musella I., 2013, ArXiv e-prints
- Annibali F., Greggio L., Tosi M., Aloisi A., Leitherer C., 2003, AJ, 126, 2752
- Ashley T., Simpson C. E., Elmegreen B. G., 2013, ArXiv e-prints
- Baldwin J. E., Lynden-Bell D., Sancisi R., 1980, MNRAS, 193, 313
- Bekki K., 2008, MNRAS, 388, L10
- Bekki K., Freeman K. C., 2002, ApJL, 574, L21
- Berg D. A., Skillman E. D., Marble A. R., van Zee L., Engelbracht C. W., Lee J. C., Kennicutt Jr. R. C., Calzetti D., Dale D. A., Johnson B. D., 2012, ApJ, 754, 98
- Bouchard A., Prugniel P., Koleva M., Sharina M., 2010, A&A, 513, A54
- Bravo-Alfaro H., Brinks E., Baker A. J., Walter F., Kunth D., 2004, AJ, 127, 264
- Briggs D. S., 1995, in Bulletin of the American Astronomical Society Vol. 27 of Bulletin of the American Astronomical Society, High Fidelity Interferometric Imaging: Robust Weighting and NNLS Deconvolution. pp 1444+
- Campos-Aguilar A., Moles M., 1991, A&A, 241, 358
- Campos-Aguilar A., Moles M., Masegosa J., 1993, AJ, 106, 1784
- Cannon J. M., McClure-Griffiths N. M., Skillman E. D., Côté S., 2004, ApJ, 607, 274
- Chengalur J. N., Pustilnik S. A., Martin J., Kniazev A. Y., 2006, MNRAS, 371, 1849
- Conselice C. J., 2003, ApJS, 147, 1
- Cooper J. L., Bicknell G. V., Sutherland R. S., Bland-Hawthorn J., 2008, ApJ, 674, 157
- Côté S., Carignan C., Freeman K. C., 2000, AJ, 120, 3027
- Cote S., Freeman K. C., Carignan C., Quinn P. J., 1997, AJ, 114, 1313
- Cox A. L., Sparke L. S., Watson A. M., van Moorsel G., 2001, AJ, 121, 692
- Crone M. M., Schulte-Ladbeck R. E., Greggio L., Hopp U., 2002, ApJ, 567, 258
- Dalcanton J. J., Williams B. F., Seth A. C., Dolphin A., Holtzman J., Rosema K., Skillman E. D., Cole A., Girardi L., Gogarten S. M., et al. 2009, ApJS, 183, 67
- Dekel A., Birnboim Y., 2006, MNRAS, 368, 2
- del Río M. S., Brinks E., Cepa J., 2004, AJ, 128, 89
- D'Ercole A., Brighenti F., 1999, MNRAS, 309, 941
- Drozdosky I. O., Schulte-Ladbeck R. E., Hopp U., Crone M. M., Greggio L., 2001, ApJL, 551, L135
- Ekta Chengalur J. N., Pustilnik S. A., 2006, MNRAS, 372, 853
- Ekta Chengalur J. N., Pustilnik S. A., 2008, MNRAS, 391,

- 881
- Ekta B., Chengalur J. N., 2010, MNRAS, 403, 295
- Ekta B., Pustilnik S. A., Chengalur J. N., 2009, MNRAS, 397, 963
- Elmegreen B. G., Zhang H.-X., Hunter D. A., 2012, ApJ, 747, 105
- Elson E. C., de Blok W. J. G., Kraan-Korteweg R. C., 2010, MNRAS, 404, 2061
- Elson E. C., de Blok W. J. G., Kraan-Korteweg R. C., 2011, MNRAS, 415, 323
- Elson E. C., de Blok W. J. G., Kraan-Korteweg R. C., 2013, MNRAS, 429, 2550
- Evstigneeva E. A., Drinkwater M. J., Jurek R., Firth P., Jones J. B., Gregg M. D., Phillipps S., 2007, MNRAS, 378, 1036
- Firth P., Evstigneeva E. A., Jones J. B., Drinkwater M. J., Phillipps S., Gregg M. D., 2006, MNRAS, 372, 1856
- Gallagher III J. S., Hunter D. A., 1987, AJ, 94, 43
- Gil de Paz A., Madore B. F., Pevunova O., 2003, ApJS, 147, 29
- Grocholski A. J., Aloisi A., van der Marel R. P., Mack J., Annibali F., Angeretti L., Greggio L., Held E. V., Romano D., Sirianni M., Tosi M., 2008, ApJL, 686, L79
- Guseva N. G., Papaderos P., Izotov Y. I., Green R. F., Fricke K. J., Thuan T. X., Noeske K. G., 2003, A&A, 407, 105
- Holwerda B. W., Pirzkal N., de Blok W. J. G., Blyth S.-L., 2013, MNRAS, 435, 1020
- Holwerda B. W., Pirzkal N., de Blok W. J. G., Bouchard A., Blyth S.-L., van der Heyden K. J., Elson E. C., 2011a, MNRAS, 416, 2401
- Holwerda B. W., Pirzkal N., de Blok W. J. G., Bouchard A., Blyth S.-L., van der Heyden K. J., Elson E. C., 2011b, MNRAS, 416, 2415
- Hunter D. A., Elmegreen B. G., 2004, AJ, 128, 2170
- Hunter D. A., Ficut-Vicas D., Ashley T., Brinks E., Cigan P., Elmegreen B. G., Heesen V., Herrmann K. A., Johnson M., Oh S.-H., Rupen M. P., Schruha A., Simpson C. E., Walter F., Westpfahl D. J., Young L. M., Zhang H.-X., 2012, AJ, 144, 134
- Hunter D. A., Wilcots E. M., van Woerden H., Gallagher J. S., Kohle S., 1998, ApJL, 495, L47
- Iovino A., Melnick J., Shaver P., 1988, ApJL, 330, L17
- Izotov Y. I., Thuan T. X., 1999, ApJ, 511, 639
- Johnson M., Hunter D. A., Oh S.-H., Zhang H.-X., Elmegreen B., Brinks E., Tollerud E., Herrmann K., 2012, AJ, 144, 152
- Kaisin S. S., Karachentsev I. D., 2008, A&A, 479, 603
- Kantharia N. G., Ananthakrishnan S., Nityananda R., Hota A., 2005, A&A, 435, 483
- Karachentsev I. D., 2005, AJ, 129, 178
- Karachentsev I. D., Dolphin A. E., Geisler D., Grebel E. K., Guhathakurta P., Hodge P. W., Karachentseva V. E., Sarajedini A., Seitzer P., Sharina M. E., 2002, A&A, 383, 125
- Karachentsev I. D., Karachentseva V. E., Huchtmeier W. K., Makarov D. I., 2004, AJ, 127, 2031
- Karachentsev I. D., Makarov D. I., Kaisina E. I., 2013, AJ, 145, 101
- Karachentsev I. D., Sharina M. E., Dolphin A. E., Grebel E. K., Geisler D., Guhathakurta P., Hodge P. W., Karachentseva V. E., Sarajedini A., Seitzer P., 2003, A&A, 398, 467
- Kennicutt Jr. R. C., 1998, ARA&A, 36, 189
- Kereš D., Katz N., Weinberg D. H., Davé R., 2005, MNRAS, 363, 2
- Kobulnicky H. A., Skillman E. D., 1997, ApJ, 489, 636
- Kovač K., Oosterloo T. A., van der Hulst J. M., 2009, MNRAS, 400, 743
- Lauberts A., Valentijn E. A., 1989, The surface photometry catalogue of the ESO-Uppsala galaxies
- Lee J. C., Gil de Paz A., Tremonti C., Kennicutt Jr. R. C., Salim S., Bothwell M., Calzetti D., Dalcanton J., Dale D., Engelbracht C., Funes S. J. J. G., Johnson B., Sakai S., Skillman E., van Zee L., Walter F., Weisz D., 2009, ApJ, 706, 599
- Lee J. C., Salzer J. J., Law D. A., Rosenberg J. L., 2000, ApJ, 536, 606
- Lelli F., Fraternali F., Verheijen M., 2014, A&A, 563, A27
- Lelli F., Verheijen M., Fraternali F., 2014, A&A, 566, A71
- Lelli F., Verheijen M., Fraternali F., Sancisi R., 2012a, A&A, 537, A72
- Lelli F., Verheijen M., Fraternali F., Sancisi R., 2012b, A&A, 544, A145
- López-Sánchez Á. R., 2010, A&A, 521, A63
- López-Sánchez Á. R., Koribalski B., van Eymeren J., Esteban C., Popping A., Hibbard J., 2010, in Verdes-Montenegro L., Del Olmo A., Sulentic J., eds, Galaxies in Isolation: Exploring Nature Versus Nurture Vol. 421 of Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series, The Environment of Nearby Blue Compact Dwarf Galaxies. p. 65
- López-Sánchez Á. R., Koribalski B. S., van Eymeren J., Esteban C., Kirby E., Jerjen H., Lonsdale N., 2012, MNRAS, 419, 1051
- Lotz J. M., Primack J., Madau P., 2004, AJ, 128, 163
- Mac Low M., Ferrara A., 1999, ApJ, 513, 142
- Manthey E., Oosterloo T., 2008, in Minchin R., Momjian E., eds, The Evolution of Galaxies Through the Neutral Hydrogen Window Vol. 1035 of American Institute of Physics Conference Series, IC 10-a cosmic bluebottle. pp 156–158
- Marlowe A. T., Meurer G. R., Heckman T. M., 1999, ApJ, 522, 183
- Martin C. L., 1996, ApJ, 465, 680
- Martin C. L., 1998, ApJ, 506, 222
- Martínez-Delgado D., Romanowsky A. J., Gabany R. J., Annibali F., Arnold J. A., Fliri J., Zibetti S., et al. 2012, ApJL, 748, L24
- Mateo M. L., 1998, ARA&A, 36, 435
- Matthews L. D., Uson J. M., 2008, AJ, 135, 291
- Maurogordato S., Proust D., Cappi A., Slezak E., Martin J. M., 1997, A&A Supplement Series, 123, 411
- McQuinn K. B. W., Skillman E. D., Cannon J. M., Dalcanton J., Dolphin A., Hidalgo-Rodríguez S., Holtzman J., Stark D., Weisz D., Williams B., 2010, ApJ, 721, 297
- McQuinn K. B. W., Skillman E. D., Dalcanton J. J., Cannon J. M., Dolphin A. E., Holtzman J., Weisz D. R., Williams B. F., 2012, ApJ, 759, 77
- Méndez D. I., Esteban C., 2000, A&A, 359, 493
- Méndez D. I., Esteban C., Balcells M., 1999, AJ, 117, 1229
- Meurer G. R., Carignan C., Beaulieu S. F., Freeman K. C., 1996, AJ, 111, 1551
- Meurer G. R., Staveley-Smith L., Killeen N. E. B., 1998,

- MNRAS, 300, 705
- Noeske K. G., Iglesias-Páramo J., Vílchez J. M., Papaderos P., Fricke K. J., 2001, A&A, 371, 806
- Noguchi M., 1988, A&A, 201, 37
- Noordermeer E., van der Hulst J. M., Sancisi R., Swaters R. A., van Albada T. S., 2005, A&A, 442, 137
- Oh S., de Blok W. J. G., Walter F., Brinks E., Kennicutt R. C., 2008, AJ, 136, 2761
- Ott J., Stilp A. M., Warren S. R., Skillman E. D., Dalcanton J. J., Walter F., de Blok W. J. G., Koribalski B., West A. A., 2012, AJ, 144, 123
- Papaderos P., Izotov Y. I., Thuan T. X., Noeske K. G., Fricke K. J., Guseva N. G., Green R. F., 2002, A&A, 393, 461
- Pustilnik S., Ugryumov A. V., Lipovetsky V. A., Thuan T. X., Guseva N., 1995, ApJ, 443, 499
- Pustilnik S. A., Brinks E., Thuan T. X., Lipovetsky V. A., Izotov Y. I., 2001, AJ, 121, 1413
- Pustilnik S. A., Kniazev A. Y., Lipovetsky V. A., Ugryumov A. V., 2001, A&A, 373, 24
- Putman M. E., Bureau M., Mould J. R., Staveley-Smith L., Freeman K. C., 1998, AJ, 115, 2345
- Salzer J. J., 1989, ApJ, 347, 152
- Sancisi R., Fraternali F., Oosterloo T., van der Hulst T., 2008, A&ARv, 15, 189
- Schulte-Ladbeck R. E., Hopp U., Greggio L., Crone M. M., 2000, AJ, 120, 1713
- Schulte-Ladbeck R. E., Hopp U., Greggio L., Crone M. M., Drozdovsky I. O., 2001, AJ, 121, 3007
- Silk J., Wyse R. F. G., Shields G. A., 1987, ApJL, 322, L59
- Simpson C. E., Hunter D. A., Nordgren T. E., Brinks E., Elmegreen B. G., Ashley T., Lynds R., McIntyre V. J., O'Neil E. J., Östlin G., Westpfahl D. J., Wilcots E. M., 2011, AJ, 142, 82
- Skillman E. D., Côté S., Miller B. W., 2003, AJ, 125, 593
- Stil J. M., Israel F. P., 1998, A&A, 337, 64
- Stil J. M., Israel F. P., 2002, A&A, 392, 473
- Swaters R. A., Balcells M., 2002, A&A, 390, 863
- Swaters R. A., van Albada T. S., van der Hulst J. M., Sancisi R., 2002, A&A, 390, 829
- Taylor C., Brinks E., Skillman E. D., 1993, AJ, 105, 128
- Taylor C. L., Brinks E., Grashuis R. M., Skillman E. D., 1995, ApJS, 99, 427
- Taylor C. L., Thomas D. L., Brinks E., Skillman E. D., 1996, ApJS, 107, 143
- Telles E., Maddox S., 2000, MNRAS, 311, 307
- Telles E., Terlevich R., 1995, MNRAS, 275, 1
- Terlevich R., Melnick J., Masegosa J., Moles M., Copetti M. V. F., 1991, A&A Supplement Series, 91, 285
- Thilker D. A., Bianchi L., Schiminovich D., Gil de Paz A., Seibert M., Madore B. F., Wyder T., Rich R. M., Yi S., Barlow T., Conrow T., Forster K., Friedman P., Martin C., Morrissey P., Neff S., Small T., 2010, ApJL, 714, L171
- Thuan T. X., Hibbard J. E., Lévrier F., 2004, AJ, 128, 617
- Thuan T. X., Izotov Y. I., 2005, ApJS, 161, 240
- Trentham N., Tully R. B., Verheijen M. A. W., 2001, MNRAS, 325, 385
- van Eymeren J., Koribalski B. S., López-Sánchez Á. R., Dettmar R.-J., Bomans D. J., 2010, MNRAS, 407, 113
- van Eymeren J., Marcelin M., Koribalski B., Dettmar R.-J., Bomans D. J., Gach J.-L., Balard P., 2009a, A&A, 493, 511
- van Eymeren J., Marcelin M., Koribalski B. S., Dettmar R.-J., Bomans D. J., Gach J.-L., Balard P., 2009b, A&A, 505, 105
- van Zee L., Salzer J. J., Skillman E. D., 2001, AJ, 122, 121
- van Zee L., Skillman E. D., Salzer J. J., 1998, AJ, 116, 1186
- Verheijen M., Sancisi R., 2001, A&A, 370, 765
- Walter F., Brinks E., de Blok W. J. G., Bigiel F., Kennicutt Jr. R. C., Thornley M. D., Leroy A., 2008, AJ, 136, 2563
- Walter F., Brinks E., Duric N., Klein U., 1997, AJ, 113, 2031
- Weisz D. R., Dalcanton J. J., Williams B. F., Gilbert K. M., Skillman E. D., Seth A. C., Dolphin A. E., McQuinn K. B. W., Gogarten S. M., Holtzman J., Rosema K., Cole A., Karachentsev I. D., Zaritsky D., 2011, ApJ, 739, 5